NATIONAL TAXPAYERS UNION

Rates Congress

118th Congress, First Session

2023

About NTU Rates Congress

Every year, National Taxpayers Union (NTU) rates U.S. Representatives and Senators on their votes. Unlike most organizations that publish ratings, we refuse to play the "rating game" of focusing on only a handful of House or Senate votes on a few selected issues. Our scorecard includes every single vote that affects taxes, spending, debt, and major regulations. NTU's voting analysis is the fairest, most accurate, and comprehensive guide available on congressional members' positions on issues important to taxpayers.

NTU has no partisan ax to grind. All members of Congress are treated the same regardless of political affiliation. Our only constituency is the overburdened American taxpayer. Grades are given impartially, based on the Taxpayer Score.

Taxpayer Score

The Taxpayer Score measures the strength of support for reducing wasteful government spending, opposing higher taxes, and often opposing burdensome regulations that can stifle U.S. economic growth. In general, a higher score is better because it means a member of Congress voted to spend less money.

The Taxpayer Score can range between zero and 100. We do not expect anyone to score a 100, nor has any legislator ever scored a perfect 100—without missing a single vote— in the decades-long history of the comprehensive NTU scoring system. A high score does not mean that the member of Congress was opposed to all spending or all programs. High-scoring members have indicated that they would vote for many programs if the amount of spending were lower or if the spending were offset by cuts elsewhere in the budget. Indeed, a member who still wants to increase spending on some programs can achieve a high score if he or she votes for offsetting cuts. A zero score would indicate that the member of Congress approved every spending increase and opposed every pro-taxpayer reform.

Taxpayer Scores		
Senate		House
43%	Average	43%
49%	Median	57%
87%	High	96%
6%	Low	5%

Party Scores	
Senate Democrats Avg.	16%
House Democrats Avg.	11%
Senate Republicans Avg.	69%
House Republicans Avg.	74%
Senate Independents Avg.	26%

NTU believes a score qualifying for a grade of "A" indicates the member is one of the strongest supporters of responsible tax, spending, and regulatory policies. We are pleased to give these members of Congress our "Taxpayers' Friend Award." To receive a Taxpayers' Friend Award, a member of Congress must have not only achieved a grade of an A, but also voted on a minimum percentage of weighted total votes in the House or in the Senate.

A score qualifying for a grade of "B" represents a "good" voting record on controlling spending and taxes. A "B" grade indicates that the member voted for taxpayers most of the time, but slightly less than those who attained the grade of "A."

A score qualifying for a grade of "C" represents a minimally acceptable voting record on controlling taxes and spending. While such a score may be "satisfactory," there is clearly room for improvement.

NTU.org

We also issue pluses and minuses for the grades of "B" and "C" in order to better recognize the differences in the voting records of members with these grades.

A score qualifying for a grade of "D" indicates the member has a "poor" voting record on controlling taxes and spending.

A score significantly below average qualifies for a grade of "F."

Our scores and grades are not meant to offer definitive value judgments on any particular member of Congress, nor are they calculated with any favoritism or malice in mind. Rather, NTU Rates Congress is designed to offer a quantitative value that demonstrates a member's voting record on fiscal and regulatory matters, large and small.

Computation

NTU's federal budget experts assigned a weight to each roll call vote in the House and Senate. A lower weight was assigned to votes that had relatively smaller effects on the size of the federal budget and federal deficits, the scale and scope of federal tax revenues, or the size and scope of the regulatory state. A higher weight, on the other hand, was assigned to votes with the most significant effect on federal spending, deficits, taxes, or the size and scope of the regulatory state.

Consideration was given to the longer-term effects of a vote on future fiscal policy, even if relatively few tax or deficit-financed dollars might be immediately at stake.

Scores were computed by dividing the weighted total of votes cast against higher spending, higher taxes, or more regulation (or for lower spending, lower taxes, or less regulation) by the weighted total number of spending and tax issues on which the member of Congress voted. Average scores for each state were also computed using the weighted total of votes cast by each state delegation.

In computing these scores, we included only those votes on which the member actually voted for or against a bill, resolution, or amendment. Announced positions and absences were excluded.

Additionally, because some members either frequently miss votes, cast certain votes to permit the usage of procedural tools, or otherwise abstained from voting yes or no, their scores may not accurately reflect their stance on fiscal policies. The members falling into this category are noted. This often includes the Speaker of the House and the Senate Majority Leader.

We analyzed every roll call vote taken in the First Session of the 118th Congress and selected all votes that could significantly affect the amounts of federal taxes, spending, debt, or regulatory impact. A total of 51 Senate and 223 House votes were selected.

We included votes cast on appropriations bills, authorization bills, budget resolutions, tax bills, amendments, regulatory proposals, and certain procedural and policy votes that could affect the burden on taxpayers. Votes that simply shifted equal amounts of spending from one area to another were excluded. Also excluded were unanimous votes and votes where there was a significant difference of opinion on how to vote to reduce or control the size of government.

United States Senate

SENATE KEY		
SCORE	GRADE	COMMENTS
81 or more	A	Taxpayers' Friend
77 - 80	B+	Good
74 - 76	B	Good
70 - 73	B-	
60 - 69	C+	
50 - 59	C	Satisfactory
35 - 49	C-	
20 - 34	D	Poor
less than 20	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90% but at least 75% of total weighted votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75% but more than 50% of total weighted votes cast.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of total weighted votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		

Alabama
Britt	62%	C+
Tuberville	73%	B-
State Average	*68%*	

Alaska
Murkowski	59%	C
Sullivan	68%	C+
State Average	*64%*	

Arizona
Kelly	20%	D
Sinema	35%	C-
State Average	*28%*	

Arkansas
Boozman	64%	C+
Cotton	69%	C+
State Average	*67%*	

California
Butler	N/A	N/A
Padilla	16%	F
State Average	*16%*	

Colorado
Bennet	17%	F
Hickenlooper	18%	F
State Average	*18%*	

Connecticut
Blumenthal	12%	F
Murphy	16%	F
State Average	*14%*	

Delaware
Carper	13%	F
Coons	14%	F
State Average	*14%*	

Florida
Rubio	69%	C+
Scott, R.	68%	C+
State Average	*69%*	

Georgia
Ossoff	15%	F
Warnock	14%	F
State Average	*15%*	

Hawaii
Hirono	13%	F
Schatz	14%	F
State Average	*14%*	

Idaho
Crapo	72%	B-
Risch	67%	C+
State Average	*70%*	

Illinois
Duckworth	12%	F
Durbin*	16%	F
State Average	*14%*	

Indiana
Braun	81%	A
Young, T.	67%	C+
State Average	*74%*	

Iowa
Ernst	75%	B
Grassley	77%	B+
State Average	*76%*	

Kansas
Marshall	67%	C+
Moran	65%	C+
State Average	*66%*	

Kentucky
McConnell	62%	C+
Paul	87%	A
State Average	*75%*	

Louisiana
Cassidy	66%	C+
Kennedy	71%	B-
State Average	*69%*	

Maine
Collins	58%	C
King	23%	D
State Average	*41%*	

Maryland
Cardin	12%	F
Van Hollen	14%	F
State Average	*13%*	

Massachusetts
Markey	18%	F
Warren	18%	F
State Average	*18%*	

Michigan
Peters	14%	F
Stabenow	12%	F
State Average	*13%*	

Minnesota
Klobuchar	16%	F
Smith	14%	F
State Average	*15%*	

Mississippi
Hyde-Smith	59%	C
Wicker	58%	C
State Average	*59%*	

Missouri
Hawley	71%	B-
Schmitt	71%	B-
State Average	*71%*	

NTU.org

United States Senate

Montana
Daines	70%	B-
Tester	34%	D
State Average	*52%*	

Nebraska
Fischer	72%	B-
Ricketts	62%	C+
State Average	*67%*	

Nevada
Cortez Masto	16%	F
Rosen	21%	D
State Average	*19%*	

New Hampshire
Hassan	20%	D
Shaheen	14%	F
State Average	*17%*	

New Jersey
Booker	20%	D
Menendez	17%	F
State Average	*19%*	

New Mexico
Heinrich	14%	F
Ray Luján	14%	F
State Average	*14%*	

New York
Gillibrand	12%	F
Schumer	N/A	N/A
State Average	*12%*	

North Carolina
Budd	72%	B-
Tillis*	67%	C+
State Average	*70%*	

North Dakota
Cramer	74%	B
Hoeven	74%	B
State Average	*74%*	

Ohio
Brown	13%	F
Vance	70%	B-
State Average	*42%*	

Oklahoma
Lankford	71%	B-
Mullin	72%	B-
State Average	*72%*	

Oregon
Merkley	16%	F
Wyden	20%	D
State Average	*18%*	

Pennsylvania
Casey	14%	F
Fetterman	6%	F
State Average	*10%*	

Rhode Island
Reed	14%	F
Whitehouse	13%	F
State Average	*14%*	

South Carolina
Graham	52%	C
Scott, T.**	61%	C+
State Average	*57%*	

South Dakota
Rounds	61%	C+
Thune	73%	B-
State Average	*67%*	

Tennessee
Blackburn	71%	B-
Hagerty*	71%	B-
State Average	*71%*	

Texas
Cornyn	79%	B+
Cruz	73%	B-
State Average	*76%*	

Utah
Lee	85%	A
Romney	64%	C+
State Average	*75%*	

Vermont
Sanders	19%	F
Welch	23%	D
State Average	*21%*	

Virginia
Kaine	18%	F
Warner	20%	D
State Average	*19%*	

Washington
Cantwell	12%	F
Murray	14%	F
State Average	*13%*	

West Virginia
Capito	62%	C+
Manchin	46%	C-
State Average	*54%*	

Wisconsin
Baldwin	16%	F
Johnson	76%	B
State Average	*46%*	

Wyoming
Barrasso	74%	B
Lummis	75%	B
State Average	*75%*	

NTU★
NATIONAL TAXPAYERS UNION

United States House of Representatives

HOUSE KEY		
SCORE	GRADE	COMMENTS
87 or more	A	Taxpayers' Friend
82 - 86	B+	Good
77 - 81	B	Good
72 - 76	B-	Good
67 - 71	C+	Satisfactory
50 - 66	C	Satisfactory
35 - 49	C-	Satisfactory
23 - 34	D	Poor
less than 23	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90%, but at least 75%, of weighted total votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total votes.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of weighted total votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		

Alabama

Aderholt	65%	C
Carl	75%	B-
Moore, F.	88%	A
Palmer	80%	B
Rogers, M.	68%	C+
Sewell	9%	F
Strong	73%	B-
State Average	65%	

Alaska

Peltola*	20%	F
State Average	20%	

Arizona

Biggs	86%	B+
Ciscomani	59%	C
Crane	91%	A
Gallego	7%	F
Gosar	90%	A
Grijalva	8%	F
Lesko	78%	B
Schweikert	88%	A
Stanton	11%	F
State Average	58%	

Arkansas

Crawford	69%	C+
Hill	67%	C+
Westerman	75%	B-
Womack	62%	C
State Average	68%	

California

Aguilar	9%	F
Barragan	8%	F
Bera	11%	F
Brownley	10%	F
Calvert	60%	C
Carbajal	10%	F
Cardenas	10%	F
Chu	9%	F
Correa	11%	F
Costa	13%	F
DeSaulnier	10%	F
Duarte	66%	C
Eshoo	9%	F
Garamendi	9%	F
Garcia, M.	66%	C
Garcia, R.	12%	F
Gomez	10%	F
Harder	11%	F
Huffman	8%	F
Issa	72%	B-
Jacobs	10%	F
Kamlager-Dove	11%	F
Khanna	8%	F
Kiley	57%	C
Kim, Y.	59%	C
LaMalfa	82%	B+
Lee, B.	9%	F
Levin	10%	F
Lieu	12%	F
Lofgren	12%	F
Matsui	10%	F
McCarthy	N/A	N/A
McClintock	92%	A
Mullin	10%	F
Napolitano	11%	F
Obernolte	60%	C
Panetta	12%	F
Pelosi	11%	F
Peters	10%	F
Porter	10%	F
Ruiz	9%	F
Sanchez	10%	F
Schiff	10%	F
Sherman	10%	F
Steel	66%	C
Swalwell	11%	F
Takano	11%	F
Thompson, M.	11%	F
Torres, N.	7%	F
Valadao	59%	C
Vargas	8%	F
Waters	11%	F
State Average	22%	

Colorado

Boebert	87%	A
Buck	81%	B
Caraveo	13%	F
Crow	10%	F
Degette	12%	F
Lamborn	83%	B+
Neguse	10%	F
Pettersen	9%	F
State Average	38%	

Connecticut

Courtney	9%	F
DeLauro	7%	F
Hayes	7%	F
Himes	10%	F
Larson	7%	F
State Average	8%	

Delaware

Blunt Rochester	10%	F
State Average	10%	

NTU.org

5

United States House of Representatives

Florida

Bean	89%	A
Bilirakis	80%	B
Buchanan	62%	C
Cammack	85%	B+
Castor	10%	F
Cherfilus-McCormick	10%	F
Diaz-Balart	60%	C
Donalds	90%	A
Dunn	70%	C+
Frankel	11%	F
Franklin	81%	B
Frost	13%	F
Gaetz	81%	B
Gimenez	62%	C
Lee, L.	63%	C
Luna*	89%	A
Mast	78%	B
Mills	84%	B+
Moskowitz	15%	F
Posey	87%	A
Rutherford	65%	C
Salazar	61%	C
Soto	10%	F
Steube*	87%	A
Waltz	78%	B
Wasserman Schultz	8%	F
Webster	83%	B+
Wilson, F.	8%	F
State Average	*58%*	

Georgia

Allen	77%	B
Bishop, S.	9%	F
Carter, E.	77%	B
Clyde	87%	A
Collins	89%	A
Ferguson	75%	B-
Greene	90%	A
Johnson, H.	13%	F
Loudermilk	82%	B+
McBath	9%	F
McCormick	79%	B

Scott, A.	68%	C+
Scott, D.	10%	F
Williams, N.	9%	F
State Average	*55%*	

Hawaii

Case	10%	F
Tokuda	10%	F
State Average	*10%*	

Idaho

Fulcher	87%	A
Simpson	59%	C
State Average	*73%*	

Illinois

Bost	77%	B
Budzinski	11%	F
Casten	10%	F
Davis, Danny	11%	F
Foster	11%	F
Garcia, J.*	12%	F
Jackson, J.	12%	F
Kelly, R.	10%	F
Krishnamoorthi	9%	F
LaHood	63%	C
Miller, Mary	80%	B
Quigley	11%	F
Ramirez	10%	F
Schakowsky	9%	F
Schneider	9%	F
Sorensen	10%	F
Underwood	10%	F
State Average	*21%*	

Indiana

Baird	64%	C
Banks	83%	B+
Bucshon	61%	C
Carson	10%	F
Houchin	80%	B
Mrvan	8%	F
Pence	72%	B-
Spartz	87%	A
Yakym	73%	B-
State Average	*60%*	

Iowa

Feenstra	69%	C+
Hinson	61%	C
Miller-Meeks	65%	C
Nunn	61%	C
State Average	*64%*	

Kansas

Davids	11%	F
Estes	85%	B+
LaTurner	65%	C
Mann	75%	B-
State Average	*59%*	

Kentucky

Barr	73%	B-
Comer	87%	A
Guthrie	68%	C+
Massie	96%	A
McGarvey	10%	F
Rogers, H.	62%	C
State Average	*66%*	

Louisiana

Carter, C.	9%	F
Graves, G.	72%	B-
Higgins, C.	86%	B+
Johnson, M.	N/A	N/A
Letlow	64%	C
Scalise	81%	B
State Average	*62%*	

Maine

Golden	36%	C-
Pingree	10%	F
State Average	*23%*	

Maryland

Harris	84%	B+
Hoyer	10%	F
Ivey	10%	F
Mfume	9%	F
Raskin	12%	F
Ruppersberger	9%	F
Sarbanes	10%	F
Trone	10%	F
State Average	*19%*	

NTU NATIONAL TAXPAYERS UNION

United States House of Representatives

Massachusetts		
Auchincloss	11%	F
Clark	10%	F
Keating	10%	F
Lynch	10%	F
McGovern	10%	F
Moulton	9%	F
Neal	9%	F
Pressley	12%	F
Trahan	10%	F
State Average	*10%*	

Michigan		
Bergman	69%	C+
Dingell	10%	F
Huizenga	71%	C+
James	60%	C
Kildee	11%	F
McClain	78%	B
Moolenaar	65%	C
Scholten	11%	F
Slotkin	9%	F
Stevens	11%	F
Thanedar	10%	F
Tlaib	12%	F
Walberg	69%	C+
State Average	*37%*	

Minnesota		
Craig	14%	F
Emmer	79%	B
Finstad	76%	B-
Fischbach	77%	B
McCollum	10%	F
Omar	14%	F
Phillips**	16%	F
Stauber	73%	B-
State Average	*45%*	

Mississippi		
Ezell	75%	B-
Guest	73%	B-
Kelly, T.	81%	B
Thompson, B.	9%	F
State Average	*60%*	

Missouri		
Alford	76%	B-
Burlison	88%	A
Bush	9%	F
Cleaver	12%	F
Graves, S.	73%	B-
Luetkemeyer	68%	C+
Smith, J.	77%	B
Wagner	62%	C
State Average	*58%*	

Montana		
Rosendale	88%	A
Zinke	74%	B-
State Average	*81%*	

Nebraska		
Bacon	57%	C
Flood	64%	C
Smith, A.	69%	C+
State Average	*63%*	

Nevada		
Amodei	65%	C
Horsford	10%	F
Lee, Susie	12%	F
Titus	11%	F
State Average	*25%*	

New Hampshire		
Kuster	11%	F
Pappas	13%	F
State Average	*12%*	

New Jersey		
Gottheimer	11%	F
Kean	56%	C
Kim, A.	11%	F
Menendez	10%	F
Norcross	10%	F
Pallone	10%	F
Pascrell	9%	F
Payne	10%	F
Sherrill	10%	F
Smith, C.	63%	C
Van Drew	76%	B-
Watson Coleman	12%	F
State Average	*24%*	

New Mexico		
Leger Fernandez	11%	F
Stansbury	7%	F
Vasquez	11%	F
State Average	*10%*	

New York		
Bowman	12%	F
Clarke	9%	F
D'Esposito	61%	C
Espaillat	9%	F
Garbarino	59%	C
Goldman	7%	F
Higgins, B.	11%	F
Jeffries	10%	F
Lalota	60%	C
Langworthy	68%	C+
Lawler	57%	C
Malliotakis	64%	C
Meeks	10%	F
Meng	10%	F
Molinaro	59%	C
Morelle	10%	F
Nadler	10%	F
Ocasio-Cortez	12%	F
Ryan	10%	F
Stefanik	68%	C+
Tenney	71%	C+
Tonko	12%	F
Torres, R.	7%	F
Velazquez	9%	F
Williams, B.	64%	C
State Average	*31%*	

North Carolina		
Adams	10%	F
Bishop, D.	88%	A
Davis, Donald	18%	F
Edwards	65%	C
Foushee	10%	F
Foxx	79%	B
Hudson	77%	B
Jackson, Jeff	10%	F
Manning	13%	F
McHenry	73%	B-

NTU.org

Murphy	71%	C+
Nickel	9%	F
Ross	7%	F
Rouzer	76%	B-
State Average	43%	

North Dakota

Armstrong	68%	C+
State Average	68%	

Ohio

Balderson	69%	C+
Beatty	10%	F
Brown	10%	F
Carey	74%	B-
Davidson	90%	A
Johnson, W.	69%	C+
Jordan	91%	A
Joyce, D.	59%	C
Kaptur	8%	F
Landsman	14%	F
Latta	70%	C+
Miller, Max	65%	C
Sykes	10%	F
Turner	59%	C
Wenstrup	74%	B-
State Average	51%	

Oklahoma

Bice	62%	C
Brecheen	91%	A
Cole	61%	C
Hern	85%	B+
Lucas	63%	C
State Average	72%	

Oregon

Bentz	70%	C+
Blumenauer	13%	F
Bonamici	9%	F
Chavez-Deremer	56%	C
Hoyle	9%	F
Salinas	11%	F
State Average	28%	

Pennsylvania

Boyle	11%	F
Cartwright	10%	F
Dean	10%	F
Deluzio	9%	F
Evans	9%	F
Fitzpatrick	43%	C-
Houlahan	11%	F
Joyce, J.	81%	B
Kelly, G.	67%	C+
Lee, Summer	12%	F
Meuser	69%	C+
Perry	91%	A
Reschenthaler	75%	B-
Scanlon	11%	F
Smucker	73%	B-
Thompson, G.	63%	C
Wild	12%	F
State Average	39%	

Rhode Island

Amo	N/A	N/A
Magaziner	9%	F
State Average	9%	

South Carolina

Clyburn	9%	F
Duncan	90%	A
Fry	82%	B+
Mace	67%	C+
Norman	89%	A
Timmons	85%	B+
Wilson, J.	81%	B
State Average	72%	

South Dakota

Johnson, D.	72%	B-
State Average	72%	

Tennessee

Burchett	85%	B+
Cohen	12%	F
Desjarlais	81%	B
Fleischmann	60%	C
Green, M.	85%	B+
Harshbarger	84%	B+
Kustoff	74%	B-
Ogles	90%	A
Rose	80%	B
State Average	72%	

HOUSE KEY		
SCORE	GRADE	COMMENTS
87 or more	A	Taxpayers' Friend
82 - 86	B+	Good
77 - 81	B	
72 - 76	B-	
67 - 71	C+	Satisfactory
50 - 66	C	
35 - 49	C-	
23 - 34	D	Poor
less than 23	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90%, but at least 75%, of weighted total votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total votes.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of weighted total votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		

NTU
NATIONAL TAXPAYERS UNION

United States House of Representatives

Texas

Allred	12%	F
Arrington	84%	B+
Babin	87%	A
Burgess	82%	B+
Carter, J.*	71%	C+
Casar	10%	F
Castro	7%	F
Cloud	88%	A
Crenshaw	67%	C+
Crockett	7%	F
Cuellar	26%	D
De La Cruz	71%	C+
Doggett	13%	F
Ellzey	71%	C+
Escobar	10%	F
Fallon	84%	B+
Fletcher	13%	F
Garcia, S.	8%	F
Gonzales	63%	C
Gonzalez	20%	F
Gooden	85%	B+
Granger	68%	C+
Green, A.	10%	F
Hunt	84%	B+
Jackson Lee**	14%	F
Jackson, R.	85%	B+
Luttrell	84%	B+
McCaul	63%	C
Moran	71%	C+
Nehls	85%	B+
Pfluger	76%	B-
Roy	91%	A
Self	88%	A
Sessions	71%	C+
Van Duyne	82%	B+
Veasey	12%	F
Weber	85%	B+
Williams, R.	87%	A
State Average	*56%*	

Utah

Curtis	74%	B-
Maloy	N/A	N/A
Moore, B.	68%	C+
Owens	77%	B
State Average	*73%*	

Vermont

Balint	11%	F
State Average	*11%*	

Virginia

Beyer	12%	F
Cline	89%	A
Connolly	7%	F
Good	91%	A
Griffith	71%	C+
Kiggans	61%	C
McClellan*	11%	F
Scott, R.	5%	F
Spanberger	12%	F
Wexton	9%	F
Wittman	75%	B-
State Average	*40%*	

Washington

Delbene	10%	F
Gluesenkamp Perez	26%	D
Jayapal	9%	F
Kilmer	11%	F
Larsen	11%	F
McMorris Rodgers	73%	B-
Newhouse	59%	C
Schrier	12%	F
Smith, Adam	10%	F
Strickland	9%	F
State Average	*23%*	

West Virginia

Miller, C.	80%	B
Mooney	89%	A
State Average	*85%*	

Wisconsin

Fitzgerald	81%	B
Gallagher	72%	B-
Grothman	82%	B+
Moore, G.	9%	F
Pocan	9%	F
Steil	64%	C
Tiffany	87%	A
Van Orden	64%	C
State Average	*59%*	

Wyoming

Hageman	88%	A
State Average	*88%*	

HOUSE KEY

SCORE	GRADE	COMMENTS
87 or more	A	Taxpayers' Friend
82 - 86	B+	Good
77 - 81	B	Good
72 - 76	B-	Good
67 - 71	C+	Satisfactory
50 - 66	C	Satisfactory
35 - 49	C-	Satisfactory
23 - 34	D	Poor
less than 23	F	Big Spender

* Score based on less than 90%, but at least 75%, of weighted total votes cast.

** Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total votes.

N/A Voted on 50% or less of weighted total votes cast; score and grade not issued.

Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.

2023 Taxpayers' Friend Award Winners

Senate

Name	State	Score	Grade
Rand Paul	KY	87%	A
Mike Lee	UT	85%	A
Mike Braun	IN	81%	A

House

Name	State	Score	Grade
Thomas Massie	KY	96%	A
Tom McClintock	CA	92%	A
Bob Good	VA	91%	A
Eli Crane	AZ	91%	A
Josh Brecheen	OK	91%	A
Chip Roy	TX	91%	A
Jim Jordan	OH	91%	A
Scott Perry	PA	91%	A
Byron Donalds	FL	90%	A
Jeff Duncan	SC	90%	A
Paul Gosar	AZ	90%	A
Warren Davidson	OH	90%	A
Marjorie Greene	GA	90%	A
Andrew Ogles	TN	90%	A
Aaron Bean	FL	89%	A
Ben Cline	VA	89%	A
Mike Collins	GA	89%	A
Ralph Norman	SC	89%	A
Alex Mooney	WV	89%	A
Anna Paulina Luna	FL	89%	A

Name	State	Score	Grade
Eric Burlison	MO	88%	A
Harriet Hageman	WY	88%	A
Keith Self	TX	88%	A
Matt Rosendale	MT	88%	A
Michael Cloud	TX	88%	A
David Schweikert	AZ	88%	A
Felix Moore	AL	88%	A
Dan Bishop	NC	88%	A
James Comer	KY	87%	A
Russ Fulcher	ID	87%	A
Tom Tiffany	WI	87%	A
Andrew Clyde	GA	87%	A
Brian Babin	TX	87%	A
Victoria Spartz	IN	87%	A
Lauren Boebert	CO	87%	A
Bill Posey	FL	87%	A
Roger Williams	TX	87%	A
Greg Steube	FL	87%	A

National Taxpayers Union Rates Congress, 118th Congress, First Session, 2023

NTU
NATIONAL TAXPAYERS UNION

Since 1969, National Taxpayers Union (NTU) has been the "Voice of America's Taxpayers." We work for a simple and fair tax system that enables prosperity for all and respects taxpayers' civil rights, lean and efficient government services and regulations, sustainable fiscal policies to avoid national bankruptcy, and permanent limits on taxes, spending and debt.

NTU is a nonpartisan 501(c)(4) nonprofit, advocating on behalf of taxpayers, not political parties.