



UNITED STATES SENATE †

	GRADE	SCORE
ALABAMA		
Sessions	B+	81%
Shelby	B+	82%
State Average		82%
ALASKA		
Murkowski	C+	64%
Sullivan	B+	79%
State Average		72%
ARIZONA		
Flake	A	88%
McCain	B	73%
State Average		81%
ARKANSAS		
Boozman	B+	82%
Cotton	A	86%
State Average		84%
CALIFORNIA		
Boxer	F	11%
Feinstein	F	12%
State Average		12%
COLORADO		
Bennet	F	18%
Gardner	B	77%
State Average		48%
CONNECTICUT		
Blumenthal	F	10%
Murphy	F	10%
State Average		10%
DELAWARE		
Carper	F	25%
Coons	F	16%
State Average		21%
FLORIDA		
Nelson	F	17%
Rubio	A	87%**
State Average		52%
GEORGIA		
Isakson	B	76%
Perdue	A	85%
State Average		81%
HAWAII		
Hirono	F	11%
Schatz	F	10%
State Average		11%
IDAHO		
Crapo	A	84%
Risch	A	84%
State Average		84%
ILLINOIS		
Durbin	F	12%
Kirk	C-	53%
State Average		33%
INDIANA		
Coats	B	75%
Donnelly	F	24%
State Average		50%

	GRADE	SCORE
IOWA		
Ernst	B+	79%
Grassley	B+	80%
State Average		80%
KANSAS		
Moran	B	76%
Roberts	B	72%
State Average		74%
KENTUCKY		
McConnell	N/A	N/A
Paul	A	89%
State Average		89%
LOUISIANA		
Cassidy	B+	80%
Vitter	A	85%*
State Average		83%
MAINE		
Collins	D	37%
King	F	13%
State Average		25%
MARYLAND		
Cardin	F	13%
Mikulski	F	10%
State Average		12%
MASSACHUSETTS		
Markey	F	14%
Warren	F	17%
State Average		16%
MICHIGAN		
Peters	F	9%
Stabenow	F	9%
State Average		9%
MINNESOTA		
Franken	F	10%
Klobuchar	F	10%
State Average		10%
MISSISSIPPI		
Cochran	B-	69%
Wicker	B	72%
State Average		71%
MISSOURI		
Blunt	B	73%
McCaskill	F	21%
State Average		47%
MONTANA		
Daines	B+	82%
Tester	F	16%
State Average		49%
NEBRASKA		
Fisher	B+	82%
Sasse	A	87%
State Average		85%
NEVADA		
Heller	B	73%
Reid	F	17%*
State Average		45%

	GRADE	SCORE
NEW HAMPSHIRE		
Ayotte	C	57%
Shaheen	F	13%
State Average		35%
NEW JERSEY		
Booker	F	14%
Menendez	F	13%
State Average		14%
NEW MEXICO		
Heinrich	F	12%
Udall	F	10%
State Average		11%
NEW YORK		
Gillibrand	F	14%
Vitter	F	14%
State Average		14%
NORTH CAROLINA		
Burr	B	74%
Tillis	B	75%
State Average		75%
NORTH DAKOTA		
Heitkamp	F	28%
Hoeven	B	72%
State Average		50%
OHIO		
Brown	F	13%
Portman	B-	71%
State Average		42%
OKLAHOMA		
Inhofe	B+	80%
Lankford	A	84%
State Average		82%
OREGON		
Merkley	F	15%
Wyden	F	21%
State Average		18%
PENNSYLVANIA		
Casey	F	15%
Toomey	A	84%
State Average		50%
RHODE ISLAND		
Reed	F	14%
Whitehouse	F	10%
State Average		12%
SOUTH CAROLINA		
Graham	C+	64%*
Scott	A	84%
State Average		74%
SOUTH DAKOTA		
Rounds	B-	71%
Thune	B	75%
State Average		73%
TENNESSEE		
Alexander	C+	65%
Corker	B	77%
State Average		71%

	GRADE	SCORE
TEXAS		
Cornyn	B	74%
Cruz	A	91%*
State Average		83%
UTAH		
Hatch	B-	71%
Lee	A	89%
State Average		80%
VERMONT		
Leahy	F	10%
Sanders	F	20%
State Average		15%
VIRGINIA		
Kaine	F	15%
Warner	F	22%
State Average		19%
WASHINGTON		
Cantwell	F	13%
Murray	F	12%
State Average		13%
WEST VIRGINIA		
Capito	B-	67%
Manchin	D	38%
State Average		53%
WISCONSIN		
Baldwin	F	10%
Johnson	B	76%
State Average		43%
WYOMING		
Barrasso	B	74%
Enzi	B+	78%
State Average		76%

SENATE KEY

SCORE	GRADE	COMMENTS
83% or more	A	Taxpayers' Friend
78%-82%	B+	
72%-77%	B	Good
67%-71%	B-	
62%-66%	C+	
56%-61%	C	Satisfactory
50%-55%	C-	
33%-49%	D	Poor
32% or less	F	Big Spender

* Score based on less than 90%, but more than 75%, of weighted total of votes cast.
 ** Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total of votes cast.
 N/A Voted on 50% or less of weighted total of votes cast; score and grade not issued.
 † Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see back page for methodology.

	GRADE	SCORE
ALABAMA		
Aderholt.....	B-	71%
Brooks, M.....	B+	81%
Byrne.....	B+	79%
Palmer.....	B+	83%
Roby.....	B	74%
Rogers, M.....	B-	72%
Sewell.....	F	23%
State Average.....		69%

ALASKA		
Young, Don.....	C	61%

ARIZONA		
Franks.....	A	86%
Gallego.....	F	13%
Gosar.....	A	86%
Grijalva.....	F	16%
Kirkpatrick.....	F	27%
McSally.....	B	74%
Salmon.....	B+	81%
Schweikert.....	A	88%
Sinema.....	D	39%
State Average.....		57%

ARKANSAS		
Crawford.....	B	76%
Hill.....	B	77%
Westerman.....	B+	79%
Womack.....	B-	67%
State Average.....		75%

CALIFORNIA		
Aguilar.....	F	19%
Bass.....	F	13%
Becerra.....	F	16%
Bera.....	F	23%
Brownley.....	F	17%
Calvert.....	C+	66%
Capps.....	F	14%
Cárdenas.....	F	19%
Chu.....	F	14%
Cook.....	B-	67%
Costa.....	D	38%
Davis, S.....	F	17%
Denham.....	C+	65%
DeSaulnier.....	F	14%
Eshoo.....	F	15%
Farr.....	F	17%
Garamendi.....	F	17%
Hahn.....	F	16%
Honda.....	F	13%
Huffman.....	F	15%
Hunter.....	B	74%
Issa.....	B	77%
Knight.....	B-	72%
LaMalfa.....	B+	82%
Lee.....	F	16%*
Lieu.....	F	17%
Lofgren.....	F	15%*
Lowenthal.....	F	13%
Matsui.....	F	13%
McCarthy.....	B	77%
McClintock.....	A	89%
McNerney.....	F	17%
Napolitano.....	F	13%
Nunes.....	B-	68%
Pelosi.....	F	13%
Peters.....	F	27%
Rohrabacher.....	A	87%
Roybal-Allard.....	F	12%
Royce.....	B	76%
Ruiz.....	F	13%*

	GRADE	SCORE
Sánchez, Linda.....	F	12%
Sanchez, Loretta.....	F	18%*
Schiff.....	F	13%
Sherman.....	F	17%
Speier.....	F	18%
Swalwell.....	F	19%
Takano.....	F	13%
Thompson, M.....	F	14%
Torres.....	F	17%
Valadao.....	C+	65%
Vargas.....	F	14%
Walters.....	B-	69%
Waters.....	F	14%
State Average.....		31%

COLORADO		
Buck.....	A	88%*
Coffman.....	B+	80%
DeGette.....	F	15%
Lamborn.....	A	85%
Perlmutter.....	F	20%
Polis.....	F	24%
Tipton.....	B+	81%
State Average.....		56%

CONNECTICUT		
Courtney.....	F	14%
DeLauro.....	F	15%
Esty.....	F	16%
Himes.....	F	21%
Larson.....	F	13%
State Average.....		16%

DELAWARE		
Carney.....	F	19%

FLORIDA		
Bilirakis.....	B+	79%
Brown.....	F	14%
Buchanan.....	B-	69%
Castor.....	F	15%
Clawson.....	A	84%
Crenshaw.....	C+	66%
Curbelo.....	C	58%
DeSantis.....	A	86%
Deutch.....	F	14%
Diaz-Balart.....	C+	65%
Frankel.....	F	14%
Graham.....	D	33%
Grayson.....	F	18%
Hastings.....	F	13%
Jolly.....	C+	66%
Mica.....	B-	72%
Miller, J.....	A	87%
Murphy, P.....	F	25%
Nugent.....	B	75%
Posey.....	B+	80%
Rooney.....	B	78%
Ros-Lehtinen.....	C	61%
Ross.....	B+	80%
Wasserman Schultz.....	F	12%*
Webster.....	B	74%
Wilson, F.....	F	12%
Yoho.....	A	87%
State Average.....		53%

GEORGIA		
Allen.....	B+	79%
Bishop, S.....	D	33%
Carter, B.....	B+	79%
Collins, D.....	B+	83%
Graves, T.....	B+	83%
Hice.....	A	87%
Johnson, H.....	F	15%

	GRADE	SCORE
Lewis.....	F	11%*
Loudermilk.....	A	85%
Price, T.....	B+	82%
Scott, A.....	A	84%
Scott, D.....	F	24%
Westmoreland.....	B+	79%
Woodall.....	B+	83%
State Average.....		65%

HAWAII		
Gabbard.....	F	17%
Takai.....	F	13%*
State Average.....		15%

IDAHO		
Labrador.....	A	89%
Simpson.....	C+	64%
State Average.....		77%

ILLINOIS		
Bost.....	C+	62%
Bustos.....	F	22%
Davis, D.....	F	14%
Davis, R.....	C+	62%
Dold.....	C-	53%
Duckworth.....	F	17%*
Foster.....	F	15%
Gutiérrez.....	F	15%*
Hultgren.....	B	78%
Kelly, R.....	F	15%
Kinzinger.....	C+	64%
LaHood.....	N/A	N/A
Lipinski.....	F	25%
Quigley.....	F	21%
Roskam.....	B-	72%
Rush.....	F	16%*
Schakowsky.....	F	14%
Shimkus.....	B-	70%
State Average.....		40%

INDIANA		
Brooks, S.....	B-	69%
Bucshon.....	B-	69%
Carson.....	F	14%
Messer.....	B+	81%
Rokita.....	B+	81%
Stutzman.....	A	84%
Visclosky.....	F	19%
Walorski.....	B	76%
Young, T.....	B+	79%
State Average.....		64%

IOWA		
Blum.....	B+	83%
King, S.....	B+	82%
Loeback.....	F	21%
Young, David.....	B	77%
State Average.....		66%

KANSAS		
Huelskamp.....	A	92%
Jenkins, L.....	B+	81%
Pompeo.....	A	85%
Yoder.....	B+	81%
State Average.....		85%

KENTUCKY		
Barr.....	B	77%
Guthrie.....	B	78%
Massie.....	A	90%
Rogers, H.....	C+	65%
Whitfield.....	B-	67%
Yarmouth.....	F	12%
State Average.....		65%

LOUISIANA		
Abraham.....	B	77%

	GRADE	SCORE
Boustany.....	B-	67%
Fleming.....	A	84%
Graves, G.....	B+	79%
Richmond.....	F	19%
State Seale.....	B+	80%
State Average.....		68%

MAINE		
Pingree.....	F	14%
Poliquin.....	C+	64%
State Average.....		39%

MARYLAND		
Cummings.....	F	12%
Delaney.....	F	26%
Edwards.....	F	13%
Harris.....	A	84%
Hoyer.....	F	13%
Ruppersberger.....	F	19%
Sarbanes.....	F	13%
Van Hollen.....	F	14%
State Average.....		24%

MASSACHUSETTS		
Capuano.....	F	16%
Clark.....	F	18%
Keating.....	F	18%
Kennedy.....	F	16%
Lynch.....	F	16%
McGovern.....	F	14%
Moulton.....	F	17%
Neal.....	F	16%
Tsongas.....	F	15%
State Average.....		16%

MICHIGAN		
Amash.....	A	89%
Benishak.....	B-	67%
Bishop, M.....	B	75%
Conyers.....	F	14%
Dingell.....	F	13%
Huizenga.....	B+	83%
Kildee.....	F	16%
Lawrence.....	F	14%
Levin.....	F	15%
Miller, C.....	B-	69%
Moolenaar.....	B-	72%
Trott.....	B-	71%
Upton.....	C+	66%
Walberg.....	B	78%
State Average.....		53%

MINNESOTA		
Ellison.....	F	14%
Emmer.....	B	75%
Kline.....	B-	71%
McCollum.....	F	13%
Nolan.....	F	21%
Paulsen.....	B	73%
Peterson.....	D	48%
Walz.....	F	21%
State Average.....		42%

MISSISSIPPI		
Harper.....	B-	67%
Kelly, T.....	B	76%*
Palazzo.....	B	77%
Thompson, B.....	F	16%
State Average.....		59%

MISSOURI		
Clay.....	F	14%
Cleaver.....	F	15%*

OF REPRESENTATIVES †

	GRADE	SCORE
Graves, S.	B-	70%
Hartzler	B	75%
Long	B	77%
Luetkemeyer	B-	68%
Smith, J.	A	84%
Wagner	B	75%*
State Average		60%

MONTANA

Zinke	B	73%
-------	---	-----

NEBRASKA

Ashford	D	47%
Fortenberry	B-	69%
Smith, Adrian	B+	82%
State Average		66%

NEVADA

Amodei	B-	71%
Hardy	B-	70%
Heck, J.	B	76%
Titus	F	21%
State Average		60%

NEW HAMPSHIRE

Guinta	B-	72%
Kuster	F	20%
State Average		46%

NEW JERSEY

Frelinghuysen	C+	66%
Garrett	A	85%
Lance	B	75%
LoBiondo	C	56%
MacArthur	C	60%
Norcross	F	16%
Pallone	F	13%
Pascrell	F	15%
Payne	F	11%*
Sires	F	19%
Smith, C.	C	59%
Watson Coleman	F	13%
State Average		41%

NEW MEXICO

Lujan	F	13%
Lujan Grisham	F	20%
Pearce	B	73%
State Average		35%

NEW YORK

Clarke	F	13%
Collins, C.	C+	63%
Crowley	F	14%
Donovan	C	58%*
Engel	F	15%
Gibson	C	56%
Hanna	C	58%
Higgins	F	14%
Israel	F	14%
Jeffries	F	13%
Katko	C	59%
King, P.	C	60%
Lowey	F	17%
Maloney, C.	F	16%
Maloney, S.	F	24%
Meeks	F	22%*
Meng	F	16%
Nadler	F	14%
Rangel	F	14%
Reed	C+	63%
Rice, K.	F	20%
Serrano	F	14%

	GRADE	SCORE
Slaughter	F	12%
Stefanik	C	61%
Tonko	F	15%
Valázquez	F	15%
Zeldin	C+	64%
State Average		31%

NORTH CAROLINA

Adams	F	12%
Butterfield	F	15%
Ellmers	B	73%*
Foxx	B+	80%
Holding	A	86%
Hudson	A	84%
Jones	B-	71%
McHenry	B	77%
Meadows	B+	79%
Pittenger	B+	80%
Price, D.	F	14%
Rouzer	B+	83%
Walker	B+	83%
State Average		64%

NORTH DAKOTA

Cramer	B-	69%
--------	----	-----

OHIO

Beatty	F	14%
Chabot	B+	83%
Fudge	F	14%
Gibbs	B	74%
Johnson, B.	B-	67%
Jordan	A	85%
Joyce	C+	63%
Kaptur	F	14%
Latta	B+	81%
Renacci	B-	70%
Ryan, T.	F	17%
Stivers	C+	65%
Tiberi	C+	65%
Turner	C+	62%
Wenstrup	A	84%
State Average		57%

OKLAHOMA

Bridenstine	B+	80%
Cole	C	61%
Lucas	C+	66%
Mullin	B	73%
Russell	B-	69%
State Average		70%

OREGON

Blumenauer	F	20%
Bonamici	F	21%
DeFazio	F	18%*
Schrader	D	39%
Walden	C+	64%
State Average		32%

PENNSYLVANIA

Barletta	B-	67%
Boyle	F	18%
Brady, R.	F	15%
Cartwright	F	12%
Costello	C	59%
Dent	C+	63%
Doyle	F	16%
Fitzpatrick	C	58%
Kelly, M.	B-	67%
Marino	B-	67%
Meehan	C	59%
Murphy, T.	C+	65%
Perry	B+	82%

	GRADE	SCORE
Pitts	B	76%
Rothfus	B	76%
Shuster	C+	65%
Thompson, G.	C+	64%
State Average		55%

RHODE ISLAND

Cicilline	F	14%
Langevin	F	12%
State Average		13%

SOUTH CAROLINA

Clyburn	F	17%
Duncan, Jeff	B+	82%
Gowdy	A	85%
Mulvaney	A	91%
Rice, T.	B	78%
Sanford	A	86%
Wilson, J.	B	76%
State Average		74%

SOUTH DAKOTA

Noem	B	76%
------	---	-----

TENNESSEE

Black	A	85%
Blackburn	A	84%
Cohen	F	16%
Cooper	F	30%
DesJarlais	A	87%
Duncan, John	B+	82%
Fincher	B	76%*
Fleischmann	B+	80%
Roe	B+	81%*
State Average		69%

TEXAS

Babin	A	84%
Barton	B	75%
Brady, K.	B	76%
Burgess	B+	82%
Carter, J.	B	78%*
Castro	F	16%
Conaway	B+	82%
Cuellar	D	47%
Culberson	B	78%
Doggett	F	17%
Farenthold	B+	80%
Flores	A	84%
Gohmert	A	85%
Granger	F	73%
Green, A.	F	17%
Green, G.	F	22%
Hensarling	B+	83%
Hinojosa	F	24%*
Hurd	B	75%
Jackson Lee	F	17%*
Johnson, E.	F	18%
Johnson, S.	A	84%
Marchant	B+	82%
McCaul	B+	81%
Neugebauer	A	85%
O'Rourke	F	17%
Olson	B+	82%
Poe	B	76%
Ratcliffe	A	86%
Sessions	B+	81%
Smith, L.	A	84%
Thornberry	B	75%
Veasey	F	19%
Vela	F	23%
Weber	B+	80%
Williams	B+	82%
State Average		63%

	GRADE	SCORE
UTAH		
Bishop, R.	B+	81%
Chaffetz	B+	83%
Love	B+	83%
Stewart	B+	83%
State Average		83%

VERMONT

Welch	F	16%
-------	---	-----

VIRGINIA

Beyer	F	17%
Brat	A	84%
Comstock	B-	67%
Connolly	F	21%
Forbes	B+	79%
Goodlatte	B+	81%
Griffith	B	74%
Hurt	B+	80%
Rigell	C+	65%
Scott, R.	F	13%
Wittman	B	77%
State Average		60%

WASHINGTON

DelBene	F	23%
Heck, D.	F	17%
Herrera Beutler	B-	71%
Kilmer	F	21%
Larsen	F	20%
McDermott	F	16%
McMorris Rodgers	B	73%
Newhouse	B-	69%
Reichert	C	61%
Smith, Adam	F	8%*
State Average		38%

WEST VIRGINIA

Jenkins, E.	C+	64%
McKinley	B-	68%
Mooney	B	77%
State Average		70%

WISCONSIN

Duffy	B+	80%
Grothman	B+	80%
Kind	F	25%
Moore	F	14%
Pocan	F	13%
Ribble	B+	82%
Ryan, P.	B	77%*
Sensenbrenner	A	88%
State Average		57%

WYOMING

Lummis	B+	83%
--------	----	-----

HOUSE KEY

SCORE	GRADE	COMMENTS
84% or more	A	Taxpayers' Friend
79%-83%	B+	
73%-78%	B	Good
67%-72%	B-	
62%-66%	C+	
65%-61%	C	Satisfactory
50%-55%	C-	
33%-48%	D	Poor
32% or less	F	Big Spender

* Score based on less than 90%, but more than 75% , of weighted total of votes cast.

** Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total of votes cast.

N/A Voted on 50% or less of weighted total of votes cast; score and grade not issued.

† Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see back page for methodology.

Every year National Taxpayers Union (NTU) rates U.S. Representatives and Senators on their actual votes — every vote that significantly affects taxes, spending, debt, and regulatory burdens on consumers and taxpayers. Unlike most organizations that publish ratings, we refuse to play the “rating game” of focusing on only a handful of Congressional votes on selected issues. The NTU voting study is the fairest and most accurate guide available on Congressional fiscal policies. It is a completely unbiased accounting of votes.*

NTU has no partisan ax to grind. All Members of Congress are treated the same regardless of political affiliation. Our only constituency is the overburdened American taxpayer. Grades are given impartially, based on the Taxpayer Score.

TAXPAYER SCORE

The Taxpayer Score measures the strength of support for reducing spending and regulation and opposing higher taxes. In general, a higher score is better because it means a Member of Congress voted to lessen or limit the burden on taxpayers. The Taxpayer Score can range between 0 and 100. We do not expect anyone to score a 100, nor has any legislator ever achieved a 100 in the multi-year history of the comprehensive NTU scoring system. A high score does not mean that the Member of Congress was opposed to all spending or all programs. High-scoring Members have indicated that they would vote for many programs if the amount of spending were lower. A Member who wants to increase spending on some programs can achieve a high score if he or she votes for offsetting cuts in other programs. A zero score would indicate that the Member of Congress approved every spending proposal and opposed every pro-taxpayer reform.

NTU believes a score qualifying for a grade of “A” indicates the Member is one of the strongest supporters of responsible tax and spending policies. We are pleased to give these Members of Congress our “Taxpayers’ Friend Award” (subject to minimum attendance criteria).

A score qualifying for a grade of “B” represents a “good” voting record on controlling spending and taxes. A “B” grade indicates that the Member voted for taxpayers most of the time, but slightly less than those who attained the grade of “A.”

A score qualifying for a grade of “C” represents a minimally acceptable voting record on controlling taxes and spending. To qualify for a grade of “C” a Member must have a Taxpayer Score of at least 50 percent. While such a score may be “satisfactory,” there is clearly room for improvement.

We are also issuing pluses and minuses for the grades of “B” and “C” in order to better recognize the differences in the voting records of Members with these grades.

A score qualifying for a grade of “D” indicates the Member has a “poor” voting record on controlling taxes and spending.

A score significantly below average qualifies for a grade of “F.” This failing grade places the Member into the “Big Spender” category.

VOTE SELECTION

We analyzed every roll call vote taken in the First Session of the 114th Congress (through 12/18/2015) and selected all votes that could significantly affect the amounts of federal taxes, spending, debt, or regulatory impact. A total of **141 Senate** and **267 House** votes were selected. We included votes cast on appropriations bills, authorization bills, budget target resolutions, tax bills, amendments, and certain procedural votes that could affect the burden on taxpayers. Votes that simply shifted equal amounts of spending from one area to another were excluded. Also excluded were votes where there was a significant difference of opinion on how to vote to reduce or control government and unanimous votes.

We believe the number of votes used in the analysis, the objective and nonpartisan weighting of the votes, computerized calculations, and many error checks all combine to ensure the highest possible standards of accuracy.

OTHER FACTORS

Although we believe this voting analysis is the most accurate guide available on Congressional fiscal performance, no study of roll call votes can fully evaluate a Member’s overall record. A Member’s committee work, leadership, and effectiveness with other Members also affect his or her influence on the amount of federal spending, taxes, debt, and regulatory impact. Because of the complexity of the calculations and the number of votes involved, we do not have space to reprint the votes of each Representative and Senator here. A list of votes used in the study, including the weight assigned to each, is available on our website at ntu.org.

* Computation

NTU’s federal budget experts assigned a weight to each vote ranging from 0 to 100. A low weight was assigned to votes that had relatively little effect, while a high weight was assigned to votes with the most significant effect on federal spending, taxes, debt, and regulation.

Weights were based solely on the relative effect of each vote on the total amount of federal spending, taxes, debt, or regulatory impact. Consideration was given to the long-term effect of a vote, even though relatively little might be immediately at issue. A vote with average importance should have a weight close to 10.

Scores were computed by dividing the weighted total of votes cast against higher spending, taxes, or regulation or for lower spending, taxes, or regulation, by the weighted total number of fiscal issues on which the Member of Congress voted. Average state scores were also computed, using the weighted total of votes cast by each delegation.

In computing these scores, we included only those votes on which the Member actually voted for or against a bill, resolution, or amendment. Paired votes, announced positions, and absences were excluded. Because some Members were absent frequently, cast certain votes to permit the usage of procedural tools, or otherwise failed to vote yes or no, their scores and grades (based on relatively few votes) may not accurately reflect fiscal attitudes. The Members falling into this category are noted.

TAXPAYER SCORES

SENATE		HOUSE
48%	Average	50%
64%	Median	64%
91%	High	92%
9%	Low	8%

PARTY SCORES

15%	Democratic Average	17%
13%	Democratic Median	16%
76%	Republican Average	75%
77%	Republican Median	77%