

## National Taxpayers Union Rates Congress 110th Congress • 1st Session 2007

Every year National Taxpayers Union (NTU) rates U.S. Representatives and Senators on their actual votes—*every* vote that significantly affects taxes, spending, debt, and regulatory burdens on consumers and taxpayers. Unlike most organizations that publish ratings, we refuse to play the “rating game” of focusing on only a handful of Congressional votes on selected issues. The NTU voting study is the fairest and most accurate guide available on Congressional fiscal policies. It is a completely unbiased accounting of votes.

NTU assigned weights to the votes, reflecting the importance of each vote’s effect.\*

NTU has no partisan axe to grind. All Members of Congress are treated the same regardless of political affiliation. Our only constituency is the overburdened American taxpayer. Grades are given impartially, based on the Taxpayer Score.

### TAXPAYER SCORE

The Taxpayer Score measures the strength of support for reducing spending and regulation and opposing higher taxes. In general, a higher score is better because it means a Member of Congress voted to lessen or limit the burden on taxpayers. The Taxpayer Score can range between 0 and 100. We do not expect anyone to score a 100, nor has any legislator ever achieved a 100 in the multi-year history of the comprehensive NTU scoring system. A high score does not mean that the Member of Congress was opposed to all spending or all programs. High-scoring Members have indicated that they would vote for many programs if the amount of spending were lower. A Member who wants to increase spending on some programs can achieve a high score if he or she votes for offsetting cuts in other programs. A zero score would indicate that the Member of Congress approved every spending proposal and opposed every pro-taxpayer reform.

NTU believes a score qualifying for a grade of “A” indicates the Member is one of the strongest supporters of responsible tax and spending policies. We are pleased to give these Members of Congress our “Taxpayers’ Friend Award” (subject to attendance criteria).

A score qualifying for a grade of “B” represents a “good” voting record on controlling spending and taxes. A “B” grade indicates that the Member voted for taxpayers most of the time, but slightly less than those who attained the grade of “A.”

A score qualifying for a grade of “C” represents a minimally acceptable voting record on controlling taxes and spending. To qualify for a grade of “C” a Member must have a Taxpayer Score of at least 50 percent. While such a score may be “satisfactory,” there is clearly room for improvement.

We are also issuing pluses and minuses for the grades of “B” and “C” in order to better recognize the differences in the voting records of Members with these grades.

A score qualifying for a grade of “D” indicates the Member has a “poor” voting record on controlling taxes and spending.

A score significantly below average qualifies for a grade of “F.” This failing grade places the Member into the “Big Spender” category.

### VOTE SELECTION

We analyzed every roll call vote taken during 2007 (1st Session of the 110th Congress) and selected all votes that could significantly affect the amounts of federal taxes, spending, debt, or regulatory impact. A total of 427 House and 182 Senate roll call votes were selected. We included votes cast on appropriations bills, authorization bills, budget target resolutions, tax bills, amendments, and certain procedural votes that could affect the burden on taxpayers. Votes that simply shifted equal amounts of spending from one area to another were excluded. Also excluded were votes where there was a significant difference of opinion on how to vote to reduce or control government.

We believe the number of votes used in the analysis, the objective and nonpartisan weighting of the votes, computerized calculations, and many error checks all combine to ensure the highest possible standards of accuracy.

### OTHER FACTORS

Although we believe this voting analysis is the most accurate guide available on Congressional fiscal performance, no

study of roll call votes can fully evaluate a Member’s overall record. A Member’s committee work, leadership, and effectiveness with other Members also affect his or her influence on the amount of federal spending, taxes, debt, and regulatory impact. Because of the complexity of the calculations and the number of votes involved, we do not have space to reprint the votes of each Representative and Senator here. A list of the votes used in the study, including the weight assigned to each vote, is available on request.

### \* Computation

NTU’s federal budget experts assigned a weight to each vote ranging from 0 to 100. A low weight was assigned to votes that had relatively little effect, while a high weight was assigned to votes with the most significant effect on federal spending, taxes, debt, and regulation.

Weights were based solely on the relative effect of each vote on the total amount of federal spending, taxes, debt, or regulatory impact. Consideration was given to the long-term effect of a vote, even though relatively little might be immediately at issue. A vote with average importance should have a weight close to 10.

Scores were computed by dividing the weighted total of votes cast *against* higher spending, taxes, or regulation or *for* lower spending, taxes, or regulation, by the weighted total number of fiscal issues on which the Member of Congress voted. Average state scores were also computed, using the weighted total of votes cast by each delegation.

In computing these scores, we included only those votes on which the Member actually voted for or against a bill, resolution, or amendment. Paired votes, announced positions, and absences were excluded. Because some Members were absent frequently (or otherwise failed to vote yes or no), their scores and grades, based on relatively few votes, may not accurately reflect fiscal attitudes. The Members falling into this category are noted.

### TAXPAYER SCORES

SENATE			HOUSE	
37%	Average		35%	
17%	Median		12%	
93%	High		96%	
3%	Low		1%	
<b>Party Scores</b>				
8%	Democratic Average		6%	
7%	Democratic Median		5%	
66%	Republican Average		69%	
71%	Republican Median		75%	

GRADE SCORE	
<b>ALABAMA</b>	
Aderholt.....	<b>C+</b> .....64%
Bachus.....	<b>B+</b> .....76%
Bonner.....	<b>B</b> .....70%
Cramer.....	<b>F</b> .....7%
Davis.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
Everett.....	<b>B-</b> .....66%
Rogers.....	<b>C+</b> .....60%
<b>State Average</b> .....	<b>49%</b>
<b>ALASKA</b>	
Young.....	<b>C</b> .....55%
<b>ARIZONA</b>	
Flake.....	<b>A</b> .....96%
Franks.....	<b>A</b> .....93%
Giffords.....	<b>F</b> .....9%
Grijalva.....	<b>F</b> .....3%
Mitchell.....	<b>D</b> .....18%
Pastor.....	<b>F</b> .....2%
Renzi.....	<b>C-</b> .....42%
Shadegg.....	<b>A</b> .....92%
<b>State Average</b> .....	<b>45%</b>
<b>ARKANSAS</b>	
Berry.....	<b>F</b> .....8%
Boozman.....	<b>B-</b> .....69%
Ross.....	<b>F</b> .....6%
Snyder.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
<b>State Average</b> .....	<b>22%</b>
<b>CALIFORNIA</b>	
Baca.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Becerra.....	<b>F</b> .....3%
Berman.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
Bilbray.....	<b>B+</b> .....82%
Bono.....	<b>C</b> .....57%
Calvert.....	<b>B-</b> .....68%
Campbell.....	<b>A</b> .....89%
Capps.....	<b>F</b> .....3%
Cardoza.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
Costa.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
Davis.....	<b>F</b> .....6%
Doolittle.....	<b>B-</b> .....68%
Dreier.....	<b>B+</b> .....80%
Eshoo.....	<b>F</b> .....6%
Farr.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Filner.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Gallegly.....	<b>B</b> .....74%
Harman.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
Herger.....	<b>B+</b> .....80%
Honda.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Hunter.....	<b>B</b> .....74%*
Issa.....	<b>A</b> .....85%
Lantos.....	[Deceased].....N.A.
Lee.....	<b>F</b> .....8%
Lewis.....	<b>B-</b> .....66%
Lofgren.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
Lungren.....	<b>B+</b> .....80%
Matsui.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
McCarthy.....	<b>B+</b> .....79%
McKeon.....	<b>B+</b> .....83%
McNerney.....	<b>F</b> .....9%
Millender-McD. [Deceased].....	N.A.
Miller, Gary.....	<b>B+</b> .....79%
Miller, George.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Napolitano.....	<b>F</b> .....3%
Nunes.....	<b>B+</b> .....79%
Pelosi.....	N.A.....N.A.**
Radanovich.....	<b>B+</b> .....84%
Richardson.....	N.A.....N.A.**
Rohrabacher.....	<b>A</b> .....86%
Roybal-Allard.....	<b>F</b> .....4%

GRADE SCORE	
Royce.....	<b>A</b> .....93%
Sánchez, Linda.....	<b>F</b> .....3%
Sanchez, Loretta.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Schiff.....	<b>F</b> .....6%
Sherman.....	<b>F</b> .....3%
Solis.....	<b>F</b> .....3%
Stark.....	<b>F</b> .....10%
Tauscher.....	<b>F</b> .....6%
Thompson.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Waters.....	<b>F</b> .....7%
Watson.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
Waxman.....	<b>F</b> .....7%
Woolsey.....	<b>F</b> .....6%
<b>State Average</b> .....	<b>32%</b>
<b>COLORADO</b>	
DeGette.....	<b>F</b> .....6%
Lamborn.....	<b>A</b> .....93%
Musgrave.....	<b>B+</b> .....83%
Perlmutter.....	<b>F</b> .....6%
Salazar.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
Tancredo.....	<b>A</b> .....93%*
Udall.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
<b>State Average</b> .....	<b>40%</b>
<b>CONNECTICUT</b>	
Courtney.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
DeLauro.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Larson.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Murphy.....	<b>F</b> .....6%
Shays.....	<b>C-</b> .....35%
<b>State Average</b> .....	<b>11%</b>
<b>DELAWARE</b>	
Castle.....	<b>C-</b> .....44%
<b>FLORIDA</b>	
Bilirakis.....	<b>C+</b> .....61%
Boyd.....	<b>F</b> .....8%
Brown.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Brown-Waite.....	<b>C+</b> .....62%
Buchanan.....	<b>C</b> .....55%
Castor.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
Crenshaw.....	<b>B-</b> .....65%
Diaz-Balart, L.....	<b>C</b> .....56%
Diaz-Balart, M.....	<b>C</b> .....58%
Feeney.....	<b>A</b> .....87%
Hastings.....	<b>F</b> .....1%
Keller.....	<b>B-</b> .....69%
Klein.....	<b>F</b> .....6%
Mack.....	<b>B+</b> .....83%
Mahoney.....	<b>F</b> .....14%
Meek.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Mica.....	<b>B+</b> .....76%
Miller.....	<b>A</b> .....86%
Putnam.....	<b>B+</b> .....78%
Ros-Lehtinen.....	<b>C</b> .....51%
Stearns.....	<b>B+</b> .....79%
Wasserman Sch.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Weldon.....	<b>B+</b> .....75%
Wexler.....	<b>F</b> .....2%
Young.....	<b>C</b> .....50%
<b>State Average</b> .....	<b>46%</b>
<b>GEORGIA</b>	
Barrow.....	<b>D</b> .....17%
Bishop.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
Broun.....	N.A.....N.A.**
Deal.....	<b>A</b> .....90%
Gingrey.....	<b>A</b> .....86%
Johnson.....	<b>F</b> .....3%
Kingston.....	<b>B+</b> .....84%
Lewis.....	<b>F</b> .....7%
Linder.....	<b>A</b> .....89%

GRADE SCORE	
Marshall.....	<b>D</b> .....29%
Norwood.....	[Deceased].....N.A.
Price.....	<b>A</b> .....88%
Scott.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
Westmoreland.....	<b>A</b> .....90%
<b>State Average</b> .....	<b>50%</b>
<b>HAWAII</b>	
Abercrombie.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Hirono.....	<b>F</b> .....3%
<b>State Average</b> .....	<b>4%</b>
<b>IDAHO</b>	
Sali.....	<b>A</b> .....87%
Simpson.....	<b>C</b> .....50%
<b>State Average</b> .....	<b>69%</b>
<b>ILLINOIS</b>	
Bean.....	<b>D</b> .....22%
Biggart.....	<b>B-</b> .....65%
Costello.....	<b>F</b> .....7%
Davis.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
Emanuel.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
Gutierrez.....	<b>F</b> .....3%
Hare.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Hastert.....	<b>B+</b> .....77%*
Jackson.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
Johnson.....	<b>C-</b> .....39%
Kirk.....	<b>C-</b> .....36%
LaHood.....	<b>C-</b> .....36%
Lipinski.....	<b>F</b> .....6%
Manzullo.....	<b>B+</b> .....80%
Roskam.....	<b>B+</b> .....79%
Rush.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Schakowsky.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Shimkus.....	<b>B</b> .....70%
Weller.....	<b>C</b> .....51%
<b>State Average</b> .....	<b>30%</b>
<b>INDIANA</b>	
Burton.....	<b>A</b> .....85%
Buyer.....	<b>B+</b> .....78%
Carson, J.....	[Deceased].....N.A.
Donnelly.....	<b>F</b> .....15%
Ellsworth.....	<b>F</b> .....15%
Hill.....	<b>F</b> .....14%
Pence.....	<b>A</b> .....91%
Souder.....	<b>B-</b> .....67%
Visclosky.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
<b>State Average</b> .....	<b>45%</b>
<b>IOWA</b>	
Boswell.....	<b>F</b> .....6%
Braley.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
King.....	<b>A</b> .....85%
Latham.....	<b>C</b> .....51%
Loebsack.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
<b>State Average</b> .....	<b>30%</b>
<b>KANSAS</b>	
Boyd.....	<b>F</b> .....8%
Moore.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Moran.....	<b>C+</b> .....62%
Tiahrt.....	<b>B-</b> .....68%
<b>State Average</b> .....	<b>35%</b>
<b>KENTUCKY</b>	
Chandler.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Davis.....	<b>B+</b> .....75%
Lewis.....	<b>B</b> .....72%
Rogers.....	<b>B-</b> .....69%
Whitfield.....	<b>C</b> .....57%
Yarmuth.....	<b>F</b> .....6%
<b>State Average</b> .....	<b>47%</b>
<b>LOUISIANA</b>	
Alexander.....	<b>C+</b> .....62%

GRADE SCORE	
Baker.....	<b>B</b> .....70%
Boustany.....	<b>C+</b> .....61%
Jefferson.....	<b>F</b> .....3%
Jindal.....	<b>C</b> .....56%*
McCrary.....	<b>B</b> .....74%
Melancon.....	<b>F</b> .....11%
<b>State Average</b> .....	<b>48%</b>
<b>MAINE</b>	
Allen.....	<b>F</b> .....6%
Michaud.....	<b>F</b> .....7%
<b>State Average</b> .....	<b>7%</b>
<b>MARYLAND</b>	
Bartlett.....	<b>B+</b> .....76%
Cummings.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Gilchrest.....	<b>D</b> .....26%
Hoyer.....	<b>F</b> .....3%
Ruppersberger.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Sarbanes.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Van Hollen.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
Wynn.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
<b>State Average</b> .....	<b>15%</b>
<b>MASSACHUSETTS</b>	
Capuano.....	<b>F</b> .....6%
Delahunt.....	<b>F</b> .....3%
Frank.....	<b>F</b> .....7%
Lynch.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Markey.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
McGovern.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Meehan.....	[Resigned].....N.A.
Neal.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Olver.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
Tierney.....	<b>F</b> .....6%
Tsongas.....	<b>F</b> .....6%*
<b>State Average</b> .....	<b>5%</b>
<b>MICHIGAN</b>	
Camp.....	<b>B</b> .....74%
Conyers.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Dingell.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
Ehlers.....	<b>C</b> .....51%
Hoekstra.....	<b>B+</b> .....79%
Kildee.....	<b>F</b> .....3%
Kilpatrick.....	<b>F</b> .....4%
Knollenberg.....	<b>C+</b> .....61%
Levin.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
McCotter.....	<b>B-</b> .....66%
Miller.....	<b>C</b> .....50%
Rogers.....	<b>B</b> .....70%
Stupak.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
Upton.....	<b>C</b> .....54%
Walberg.....	<b>B+</b> .....81%
<b>State Average</b> .....	<b>41%</b>
<b>MINNESOTA</b>	
Bachmann.....	<b>A</b> .....86%
Ellison.....	<b>F</b> .....6%
Kline.....	<b>B+</b> .....84%
McCollum.....	<b>F</b> .....3%
Oberstar.....	<b>F</b> .....5%
Peterson.....	<b>F</b> .....7%
Ramstad.....	<b>C-</b> .....47%
Walz.....	<b>F</b> .....3%
<b>State Average</b> .....	<b>30%</b>
<b>MISSISSIPPI</b>	
Pickering.....	<b>B-</b> .....65%
Taylor.....	<b>D</b> .....28%
Thompson.....	<b>F</b> .....3%
Wicker.....	<b>B-</b> .....69%
<b>State Average</b> .....	<b>41%</b>

# REPRESENTATIVES

	GRADE	SCORE
<b>MISSOURI</b>		
Akin	A	86%
Blunt	B+	81%
Carnahan	F	5%
Clay	F	4%
Cleaver	F	4%
Emerson	C-	38%
Graves	C+	64%
Hulshof	C+	61%
Skelton	F	6%
<b>State Average</b>		<b>39%</b>
<b>MONTANA</b>		
Rehberg	C	54%
<b>NEBRASKA</b>		
Fortenberry	C	50%
Smith	B+	79%
Terry	B	74%
<b>State Average</b>		<b>68%</b>
<b>NEVADA</b>		
Berkley	F	6%
Heller	B+	78%
Porter	C-	41%
<b>State Average</b>		<b>42%</b>
<b>NEW HAMPSHIRE</b>		
Hodes	F	5%
Shea-Porter	F	5%
<b>State Average</b>		<b>5%</b>
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>		
Andrews	F	4%
Ferguson	D	26%
Frelinghuysen	C	53%
Garrett	A	88%
Holt	F	5%
LoBiondo	D	24%
Pallone	F	4%
Pascrell	F	6%
Payne	F	5%
Rothman	F	4%
Saxton	C-	37%
Sires	F	5%
Smith	D	26%
<b>State Average</b>		<b>22%</b>
<b>NEW MEXICO</b>		
Pearce	B+	79%
Udall	F	5%
Wilson	C-	43%
<b>State Average</b>		<b>43%</b>
<b>NEW YORK</b>		
Ackerman	F	5%
Arcuri	F	4%
Bishop	F	6%
Clarke	F	5%
Crowley	F	6%
Engel	F	5%
Fossella	B-	66%
Gillibrand	F	7%
Hall	F	4%
Higgins	F	5%
Hinchey	F	4%
Israel	F	6%
King	C-	45%
Kuhl	C-	46%
Lowey	F	5%
Maloney	F	6%
McCarthy	F	6%
McHugh	D	30%
McNulty	F	6%

	GRADE	SCORE
Meeks	F	6%
Nadler	F	4%
Rangel	F	4%
Reynolds	C+	63%
Serrano	F	5%
Slaughter	F	3%
Towns	F	5%
Velázquez	F	4%
Walsh	D	33%
Weiner	F	6%
<b>State Average</b>		<b>14%</b>
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>		
Butterfield	F	3%
Coble	B+	81%
Etheridge	F	9%
Fox	A	89%
Hayes	C	53%
Jones	C	50%
McHenry	A	87%
McIntyre	F	10%
Miller	F	4%
Myrick	A	88%
Price	F	3%
Shuler	F	15%
Watt	F	4%
<b>State Average</b>		<b>38%</b>
<b>NORTH DAKOTA</b>		
Pomerooy	F	4%
<b>OHIO</b>		
Boehner	A	87%
Chabot	A	85%
Gillmor	[Deceased]	N.A.
Hobson	C-	47%
Jones	F	5%
Jordan	A	91%
Kaptur	F	3%
Kucinich	F	15%
LaTourette	C-	35%
Latta	N.A.	N.A.**
Pryce	C	52%
Regula	C-	42%
Ryan	F	4%
Schmidt	B+	75%
Space	F	8%
Sutton	F	4%
Tiberi	B-	69%
Turner	C-	48%
Wilson	F	6%
<b>State Average</b>		<b>43%</b>
<b>OKLAHOMA</b>		
Boren	D	24%
Cole	B	73%
Fallin	B+	75%
Lucas	B	71%
Sullivan	B+	83%
<b>State Average</b>		<b>65%</b>
<b>OREGON</b>		
Blumenauer	F	11%
DeFazio	F	6%
Hooley	F	4%
Walden	C	57%
Wu	F	5%
<b>State Average</b>		<b>17%</b>
<b>PENNSYLVANIA</b>		
Altmire	F	12%
Brady	F	4%
Carney	F	9%
Dent	C-	41%
Doyle	F	3%

	GRADE	SCORE
English	C-	47%
Fattah	F	5%
Gerlach	C-	35%
Holden	F	6%
Kanjorski	F	5%
Murphy, P	F	13%
Murphy, T	C-	39%
Murtha	F	4%
Peterson	C+	62%
Pitts	A	87%
Platts	C-	46%
Schwartz	F	7%
Sestak	F	7%
Shuster	B	74%
<b>State Average</b>		<b>27%</b>
<b>RHODE ISLAND</b>		
Kennedy	F	4%
Langevin	F	4%
<b>State Average</b>		<b>4%</b>
<b>SOUTH CAROLINA</b>		
Barrett	A	91%
Brown	B	70%
Clyburn	F	3%
Inglis	B+	84%
Spratt	F	5%
Wilson	A	85%
<b>State Average</b>		<b>56%</b>
<b>SOUTH DAKOTA</b>		
Herseth Sandlin	F	6%
<b>TENNESSEE</b>		
Blackburn	A	90%
Cohen	F	3%
Cooper	D	21%
Davis, D	B+	84%
Davis, L	F	9%
Duncan	A	86%
Gordon	F	7%
Tanner	F	12%
Wamp	B+	75%
<b>State Average</b>		<b>43%</b>
<b>TEXAS</b>		
Barton	B+	80%
Brady	B+	80%
Burgess	B+	77%
Carter	B+	79%
Conaway	B+	84%
Cuellar	F	7%
Culberson	B+	84%
Doggett	F	7%
Edwards	F	8%
Gohmert	B+	81%
Gonzalez	F	6%
Granger	B+	79%
Green, A	F	4%
Green, G	F	7%
Hall	B	74%
Hensarling	A	93%
Hinojosa	F	6%
Jackson-Lee	F	4%
Johnson, E	F	3%
Johnson, S	A	89%
Lampson	D	20%
Marchant	B+	83%
McCaul	B+	76%
Neugebauer	A	85%
Ortiz	F	5%
Paul	A	91%*
Poe	B+	79%
Reyes	F	5%

	GRADE	SCORE
Rodriguez	F	6%
Sessions	B+	82%
Smith	B+	76%
Thornberry	B+	81%
<b>State Average</b>		<b>51%</b>
<b>UTAH</b>		
Bishop	B+	77%
Cannon	A	86%
Matheson	D	24%
<b>State Average</b>		<b>62%</b>
<b>VERMONT</b>		
Welch	F	5%
<b>VIRGINIA</b>		
Boucher	F	5%
Cantor	A	87%
Davis, J	[Deceased]	N.A.
Davis, T	C	57%
Drake	B+	75%
Forbes	B	70%
Goode	B+	79%
Goodlatte	B+	78%
Moran	F	5%
Scott	F	3%
Wittmann	N.A.	N.A.**
Wolf	C-	44%
<b>State Average</b>		<b>50%</b>
<b>WASHINGTON</b>		
Baird	F	7%
Dicks	F	5%
Hastings	B+	76%
Inslee	F	8%
Larsen	F	4%
McDermott	F	9%
McMorris Rodgers	B-	67%
Reichert	D	33%
Smith	F	8%
<b>State Average</b>		<b>24%</b>
<b>WEST VIRGINIA</b>		
Capito	C-	40%
Mollohan	F	6%
Rahall	F	7%
<b>State Average</b>		<b>18%</b>
<b>WISCONSIN</b>		
Baldwin	F	5%
Kagen	F	7%
Kind	F	8%
Moore	F	5%
Obey	F	3%
Petri	B	70%
Ryan	A	85%
Sensenbrenner	A	91%
<b>State Average</b>		<b>34%</b>
<b>WYOMING</b>		
Cubin	N.A.	N.A.**

House Key		
SCORE	GRADE	COMMENTS
85% or more	A	Taxpayers' Friend
75%-84%	B+	
70%-74%	B	Good
65%-69%	B-	
59%-64%	C+	
50%-58%	C	Satisfactory
35%-49%	C-	
17%-34%	D	Poor
16% or less	F	Big Spender

\* Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total of votes cast.  
 \*\* Voted on 50% or less of weighted total of votes cast; score and grade not issued.

# United States Senate

## GRADE SCORE

<b>ALABAMA</b>		
Sessions	<b>B+</b>	74%
Shelby	<b>B-</b>	65%
State Average		69%

## ALASKA

Murkowski	<b>C</b>	50%
Stevens	<b>C-</b>	44%
State Average		47%

## ARIZONA

Kyl	<b>A</b>	86%
McCain	N.A.	N.A. **
State Average		86%

## ARKANSAS

Lincoln	<b>F</b>	9%
Pryor	<b>F</b>	7%
State Average		8%

## CALIFORNIA

Boxer	<b>F</b>	7%
Feinstein	<b>F</b>	7%
State Average		7%

## COLORADO

Allard	<b>A</b>	86%
Salazar	<b>F</b>	9%
State Average		47%

## CONNECTICUT

Dodd	<b>F</b>	4%*
Lieberman	<b>F</b>	11%
State Average		8%

## DELAWARE

Biden	<b>F</b>	4%*
Carper	<b>F</b>	10%
State Average		8%

## FLORIDA

Martinez	<b>B+</b>	73%
Nelson	<b>F</b>	11%
State Average		42%

## GEORGIA

Chambliss	<b>B+</b>	75%
Isakson	<b>B+</b>	75%
State Average		75%

## HAWAII

Akaka	<b>F</b>	3%
Inouye	<b>F</b>	4%
State Average		3%

## IDAHO

Craig	<b>B</b>	70%
Crapo	<b>B</b>	68%
State Average		69%

To obtain additional copies of this report, please send \$2.00 (NTU members, 75¢) for each to:

NTU/Publications  
108 N. Alfred St.  
Alexandria, VA 22314

## GRADE SCORE

<b>ILLINOIS</b>		
Durbin	<b>F</b>	6%
Obama	<b>F</b>	5%*
State Average		6%

## INDIANA

Bayh	<b>D</b>	15%
Lugar	<b>C</b>	53%
State Average		34%

## IOWA

Grassley	<b>C</b>	52%
Harkin	<b>F</b>	5%
State Average		29%

## KANSAS

Brownback	<b>B+</b>	77%*
Roberts	<b>C+</b>	56%
State Average		65%

## KENTUCKY

Bunning	<b>A</b>	81%
McConnell	<b>B+</b>	77%
State Average		79%

## LOUISIANA

Landrieu	<b>D</b>	16%
Vitter	<b>B+</b>	76%
State Average		46%

## MAINE

Collins	<b>C-</b>	35%
Snowe	<b>D</b>	27%
State Average		31%

## MARYLAND

Cardin	<b>F</b>	6%
Mikulski	<b>F</b>	7%
State Average		6%

## MASSACHUSETTS

Kennedy	<b>F</b>	8%
Kerry	<b>F</b>	8%
State Average		8%

## MICHIGAN

Levin	<b>F</b>	6%
Stabenow	<b>F</b>	6%
State Average		6%

## MINNESOTA

Coleman	<b>C-</b>	38%
Klobuchar	<b>F</b>	5%
State Average		22%

## MISSISSIPPI

Cochran	<b>B-</b>	64%
Lott	<b>B+</b>	71%
State Average		67%

## MISSOURI

Bond	<b>C</b>	54%
McCaskill	<b>D</b>	17%
State Average		35%

## MONTANA

Baucus	<b>F</b>	11%
Tester	<b>F</b>	10%
State Average		10%

## NEBRASKA

Hagel	<b>B+</b>	73%
Nelson	<b>D</b>	17%
State Average		45%

## GRADE SCORE

<b>NEVADA</b>		
Ensign	<b>A</b>	86%
Reid	<b>F</b>	7%
State Average		46%

## NEW HAMPSHIRE

Gregg	<b>B+</b>	78%
Sununu	<b>B+</b>	71%
State Average		74%

## NEW JERSEY

Lautenberg	<b>F</b>	9%
Menendez	<b>F</b>	7%
State Average		8%

## NEW MEXICO

Bingaman	<b>F</b>	5%
Domenici	<b>C</b>	52%
State Average		29%

## NEW YORK

Clinton	<b>F</b>	3%*
Schumer	<b>F</b>	7%
State Average		5%

## NORTH CAROLINA

Burr	<b>A</b>	85%
Dole	<b>B+</b>	73%
State Average		80%

## NORTH DAKOTA

Conrad	<b>F</b>	6%
Dorgan	<b>F</b>	5%
State Average		6%

## OHIO

Brown	<b>F</b>	6%
Voinovich	<b>C+</b>	58%
State Average		32%

## OKLAHOMA

Coburn	<b>A</b>	89%
Inhofe	<b>A</b>	83%
State Average		86%

## OREGON

Smith	<b>D</b>	32%
Wyden	<b>F</b>	8%
State Average		20%

## PENNSYLVANIA

Casey	<b>F</b>	7%
Specter	<b>D</b>	34%
State Average		20%

## RHODE ISLAND

Reed	<b>F</b>	8%
Whitehouse	<b>F</b>	6%
State Average		7%

## SOUTH CAROLINA

DeMint	<b>A</b>	93%
Graham	<b>A</b>	80%
State Average		87%

## SOUTH DAKOTA

Johnson	N.A.	N.A. **
Thune	<b>B</b>	68%
State Average		68%

## TENNESSEE

Alexander	<b>C+</b>	58%
Corker	<b>B-</b>	63%
State Average		60%

## GRADE SCORE

<b>TEXAS</b>		
Cornyn	<b>B+</b>	79%
Hutchison	<b>B-</b>	63%
State Average		71%

## UTAH

Bennett	<b>B</b>	66%
Hatch	<b>C+</b>	57%
State Average		62%

## VERMONT

Leahy	<b>F</b>	7%
Sanders	<b>F</b>	6%
State Average		6%

## VIRGINIA

Warner	<b>C</b>	55%
Webb	<b>F</b>	13%
State Average		33%

## WASHINGTON

Cantwell	<b>F</b>	9%
Murray	<b>F</b>	6%
State Average		8%

## WEST VIRGINIA

Byrd	<b>F</b>	7%
Rockefeller	<b>F</b>	5%
State Average		6%

## WISCONSIN

Feingold	<b>F</b>	12%
Kohl	<b>F</b>	7%
State Average		10%

## WYOMING

Barrasso	<b>B+</b>	76%*
Enzi	<b>A</b>	86%
Thomas	[Deceased]	N.A.
State Average		82%

## Senate Key

SCORE	GRADE	COMMENTS
80% or more	A	Taxpayers' Friend
71%-79%	B+	
66%-70%	B	Good
61%-65%	B-	
56%-60%	C+	
50%-55%	C	Satisfactory
35%-49%	C-	
15%-34%	D	Poor
14% or less	F	Big Spender

\* Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total of votes cast.

\*\* Voted on 50% or less of weighted total of votes cast; score and grade not issued.