UNITED STATES SENATE †

	GRADE	SCORE
ALABAMA		
Sessions	B+	87%
Shelby	B	84%
State Average		**85%**
ALASKA		
Begich	F	13%
Murkowski	C+	73%
State Average		**43%**
ARIZONA		
Kyl	B+	89%
McCain	A	90%
State Average		**89%**
ARKANSAS		
Boozman	B	81%
Pryor	F	16%
State Average		**48%**
CALIFORNIA		
Boxer	F	9%
Feinstein	F	9%
State Average		**9%**
COLORADO		
Bennet	F	15%
Udall	F	14%
State Average		**15%**
CONNECTICUT		
Blumenthal	F	8%
Lieberman	D	22%
State Average		**15%**
DELAWARE		
Carper	F	13%
Coons	F	12%
State Average		**12%**
FLORIDA		
Nelson	F	15%
Rubio	A	91%
State Average		**52%**
GEORGIA		
Chambliss	B	82%
Isakson	B−	79%
State Average		**80%**
HAWAII		
Akaka	F	9%
Inouye	F	11%
State Average		**10%**
IDAHO		
Crapo	A	90%
Risch	A	90%
State Average		**90%**
ILLINOIS		
Durbin	F	9%
Kirk	B	83%
State Average		**46%**
INDIANA		
Coats	B+	86%
Lugar	B	84%
State Average		**85%**

	GRADE	SCORE
IOWA		
Grassley	B+	86%
Harkin	F	9%
State Average		**48%**
KANSAS		
Moran	B	83%
Roberts	B	81%
State Average		**82%**
KENTUCKY		
McConnell	A	91%
Paul	A	92%
State Average		**92%**
LOUISIANA		
Landrieu	F	15%
Vitter	A	90%
State Average		**53%**
MAINE		
Collins	C	55%
Snowe	C	60%
State Average		**58%**
MARYLAND		
Cardin	F	10%
Mikulski	F	9%
State Average		**9%**
MASSACHUSETTS		
Brown	C	60%
Kerry	F	13%
State Average		**38%**
MICHIGAN		
Levin	F	7%
Stabenow	F	6%
State Average		**7%**
MINNESOTA		
Franken	F	9%
Klobuchar	F	11%
State Average		**10%**
MISSISSIPPI		
Cochran	C+	71%
Wicker	B−	79%
State Average		**75%**
MISSOURI		
Blunt	B−	75%
McCaskill	D	20%
State Average		**48%**
MONTANA		
Baucus	F	11%
Tester	F	16%
State Average		**14%**
NEBRASKA		
Johanns	B−	77%
Nelson	D	29%
State Average		**53%**
NEVADA		
Heller	B−	79%
Reid	F	10%
State Average		**40%**

	GRADE	SCORE
NEW HAMPSHIRE		
Ayotte	A	91%
Shaheen	F	14%
State Average		**53%**
NEW JERSEY		
Lautenberg	F	11%
Menendez	F	13%
State Average		**12%**
NEW MEXICO		
Bingaman	F	12%
Udall	F	9%
State Average		**10%**
NEW YORK		
Gillibrand	F	10%
Schumer	F	10%
State Average		**10%**
NORTH CAROLINA		
Burr	B+	87%
Hagan	F	12%
State Average		**48%**
NORTH DAKOTA		
Conrad	F	9%
Hoeven	C+	74%
State Average		**42%**
OHIO		
Brown	F	6%
Portman	B	83%
State Average		**45%**
OKLAHOMA		
Coburn	A	96%
Inhofe	A	91%
State Average		**93%**
OREGON		
Merkley	F	12%
Wyden	F	11%
State Average		**11%**
PENNSYLVANIA		
Casey	F	6%
Toomey	A	93%
State Average		**50%**
RHODE ISLAND		
Reed	F	7%
Whitehouse	F	7%
State Average		**7%**
SOUTH CAROLINA		
DeMint	A	93%
Graham	B−	76%
State Average		**85%**
SOUTH DAKOTA		
Johnson	F	9%
Thune	B+	86%
State Average		**48%**
TENNESSEE		
Alexander	B−	78%
Corker	A	90%
State Average		**84%**

	GRADE	SCORE
TEXAS		
Cornyn	B+	89%
Hutchison	B	84%
State Average		**87%**
UTAH		
Hatch	A	90%
Lee	A	93%
State Average		**91%**
VERMONT		
Leahy	F	8%
Sanders	F	16%
State Average		**12%**
VIRGINIA		
Warner	F	15%
Webb	F	15%
State Average		**15%**
WASHINGTON		
Cantwell	F	16%
Murray	F	12%
State Average		**14%**
WEST VIRGINIA		
Manchin	D	23%
Rockefeller	F	8%
State Average		**15%**
WISCONSIN		
Johnson	A	95%
Kohl	F	12%
State Average		**54%**
WYOMING		
Barrasso	B+	89%
Enzi	B+	89%
State Average		**89%**

SENATE KEY

SCORE	GRADE	COMMENTS
90% or more	A	**Taxpayers' Friend**
85%–89%	B+	
80%–84%	B	**Good**
75%–79%	B−	
66%–74%	C+	
50%–65%	C	**Satisfactory**
40%–49%	C−	
20%–39%	D	**Poor**
19% or less	F	**Big Spender**

*Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total of votes cast.

** Voted on 50% or less of weighted total of votes cast; score and grade not issued.

† Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see back page for methodology.

ALABAMA

	GRADE	SCORE
Aderholt	C+	68%
Bachus	B–	71%
Bonner	C+	67%
Brooks	B	79%
Roby	B–	70%
Rogers	C+	66%
Sewell	F	12%
State Average		**62%**

ALASKA

Young	C	64%

ARIZONA

Flake	A	91%
Franks	A	85%
Giffords	N.A.	N.A.**
Gosar	B	76%
Grijalva	F	16%
Pastor	F	11%
Quayle	A	86%
Schweikert	A	88%
State Average		**64%**

ARKANSAS

Crawford	C+	69%
Griffin	B–	74%
Ross	C–	46%
Womack	C+	69%
State Average		**65%**

CALIFORNIA

Baca	F	15%
Bass	F	15%
Becerra	F	17%
Berman	F	12%
Bilbray	C+	67%
Bono Mack	B	76%
Calvert	B–	70%
Campbell	A	92%
Capps	F	13%
Cardoza	D	34%
Chu	F	13%
Costa	D	35%
Davis	F	13%
Denham	B–	73%
Dreier	C	64%
Eshoo	F	18%
Farr	F	16%
Filner	F	15%
Gallegly	B–	73%
Garamendi	F	12%
Hahn	N.A.	N.A.**
Herger	B+	81%
Honda	F	16%
Hunter	B	78%
Issa	B	76%
Lee	F	18%
Lewis	C+	68%
Lofgren	D	21%
Lungren	B–	70%
Matsui	F	16%
McCarthy	B–	72%
McClintock	A	89%
McKeon	C+	69%
McNerney	F	12%
Miller	B+	82%
Miller	F	19%
Napolitano	F	17%
Nunes	B	75%
Pelosi	F	12%
Richardson	F	17%
Rohrabacher	A	85%
Roybal-Allard	F	10%
Royce	A	92%
Sanchez	F	13%
Sanchez	F	16%
Schiff	F	14%
Sherman	F	12%
Speier	F	19%
Stark	F	19%
Thompson	F	20%
Waters	F	18%
Waxman	F	15%
Woolsey	F	19%
State Average		**39%**

COLORADO

Coffman	B+	83%
DeGette	F	15%
Gardner	B	76%
Lamborn	A	85%
Perlmutter	F	14%
Polis	D	25%
Tipton	B	75%
State Average		**54%**

CONNECTICUT

Courtney	F	12%
DeLauro	F	12%
Himes	F	17%
Larson	F	13%
Murphy	F	13%
State Average		**14%**

DELAWARE

Carney	F	16%

FLORIDA

Adams	B	76%
Bilirakis	B–	70%
Brown	F	10%
Buchanan	B–	73%
Castor	F	14%
Crenshaw	C+	66%
Deutch	F	13%
Diaz-Balart	C	62%
Hastings	F	14%
Mack	A	85%
Mica	B	78%
Miller	B+	84%
Nugent	B	77%
Posey	B+	82%
Rivera	C+	66%
Rooney	B–	74%
Ros-Lehtinen	C	62%
Ross	B+	83%
Southerland	A	85%
Stearns	A	88%
Wasserman Schultz	F	9%
Webster	B–	73%
West	B	75%
Wilson	F	7%
Young	C+	67%
State Average		**61%**

GEORGIA

Barrow	D	35%
Bishop	D	23%
Broun	A	87%
Gingrey	B+	81%
Graves	A	91%
Johnson	F	8%
Kingston	B	78%
Lewis	F	17%
Price	B+	83%
Scott	B+	80%
Scott	F	12%
Westmoreland	B+	84%
Woodall	B+	84%
State Average		**59%**

HAWAII

Hanabusa	F	9%
Hirono	F	11%
State Average		**10%**

IDAHO

Labrador	A	89%
Simpson	C+	67%
State Average		**78%**

ILLINOIS

Biggert	C+	66%
Costello	D	29%
Davis	F	12%
Dold	C+	66%
Gutierrez	F	14%
Hultgren	B	76%
Jackson	F	14%
Johnson	B	78%
Kinzinger	C+	68%
Lipinski	F	19%
Manzullo	B+	82%
Quigley	D	22%
Roskam	B–	71%
Rush	F	11%
Schakowsky	F	16%
Schilling	C+	68%
Schock	C+	66%
Shimkus	C+	69%
Walsh	A	90%
State Average		**50%**

INDIANA

Bucshon	B–	74%
Burton	A	85%
Carson	F	6%
Donnelly	D	28%
Pence	B+	82%
Rokita	A	87%
Stutzman	A	88%
Visclosky	F	16%
Young	B	78%
State Average		**61%**

IOWA

Boswell	D	30%
Braley	D	21%
King	B	76%
Latham	C+	65%
Loebsack	F	20%
State Average		**42%**

KANSAS

Huelskamp	A	85%
Jenkins	B	77%
Pompeo	B+	83%
Yoder	B+	81%
State Average		**81%**

KENTUCKY

Chandler	D	31%
Davis	B–	72%
Guthrie	B–	71%
Rogers	C+	67%
Whitfield	C+	68%
Yarmuth	F	14%
State Average		**54%**

LOUISIANA

Alexander	C+	67%
Boustany	B	75%
Cassidy	B–	74%
Fleming	B+	83%
Landry	A	85%
Richmond	F	12%
Scalise	B+	80%
State Average		**68%**

MAINE

Michaud	F	19%
Pingree	F	18%
State Average		**19%**

MARYLAND

Bartlett	B	79%
Cummings	F	12%
Edwards	F	13%
Harris	B+	83%
Hoyer	F	11%
Ruppersberger	F	7%
Sarbanes	F	12%
Van Hollen	F	14%
State Average		**29%**

MASSACHUSETTS

Capuano	F	17%
Frank	F	20%
Keating	F	14%
Lynch	F	12%
Markey	F	15%
McGovern	F	16%
Neal	F	16%
Olver	F	16%
Tierney	F	15%
Tsongas	F	11%
State Average		**15%**

MICHIGAN

Amash	A	91%
Benishek	B+	83%
Camp	B–	70%
Clarke	F	15%
Conyers	F	18%
Dingell	F	9%
Huizenga	A	88%
Kildee	F	6%
Levin	F	12%
McCotter	B–	72%
Miller	B–	73%
Peters	D	21%
Rogers	B–	73%
Upton	B–	73%
Walberg	B+	83%
State Average		**53%**

MINNESOTA

Bachmann	B	75%
Cravaack	C+	69%
Ellison	F	18%
Kline	B	75%
McCollum	F	13%
Paulsen	B–	74%
Peterson	C–	40%
Walz	F	15%
State Average		**48%**

MISSISSIPPI

Harper	B–	71%
Nunnelee	B	75%
Palazzo	B–	71%
Thompson	F	12%
State Average		**57%**

MISSOURI

Akin	B	79%

	GRADE	SCORE
Carnahan	F	9%
Clay	F	15%
Cleaver	F	12%
Emerson	C	64%
Graves	B–	74%
Hartzler	B–	74%
Long	B+	80%
Luetkemeyer	B–	71%
State Average		**53%**

MONTANA
| Rehberg | B– | 70% |

NEBRASKA
Fortenberry	C+	66%
Smith	B	75%
Terry	B	75%
State Average		**72%**

NEVADA
Amodei	N.A.	N.A.**
Berkley	F	11%
Heck	C+	66%
State Average		**51%**

NEW HAMPSHIRE
Bass	C+	68%
Guinta	B	77%
State Average		**73%**

NEW JERSEY
Andrews	F	10%
Frelinghuysen	C+	65%
Garrett	A	87%
Holt	F	15%
Lance	C+	67%
LoBiondo	C	62%
Pallone	F	16%
Pascrell	F	10%
Payne	F	12%
Rothman	F	8%
Runyan	C+	65%
Sires	F	12%
Smith	C	60%
State Average		**38%**

NEW MEXICO
Heinrich	F	12%
Lujan	F	13%
Pearce	B	79%
State Average		**35%**

NEW YORK
Ackerman	F	11%
Bishop	F	13%
Buerkle	B+	82%
Clarke	F	15%
Crowley	F	15%
Engel	F	10%
Gibson	C+	68%
Grimm	C	63%
Hanna	C	64%
Hayworth	C+	69%
Higgins	F	14%
Hinchey	F	13%*
Hochul	F	20%*
Israel	F	9%
King	C+	65%
Lowey	F	8%
Maloney	F	18%
McCarthy	F	10%
Meeks	F	11%
Nadler	F	16%

	GRADE	SCORE
Owens	D	23%
Rangel	F	17%
Reed	B	75%
Serrano	F	15%
Slaughter	F	17%
Tonko	F	15%
Towns	F	16%
Turner	N.A.	N.A.**
Velazquez	F	18%
State Average		**29%**

NORTH CAROLINA
Butterfield	F	11%
Coble	B+	84%
Ellmers	B–	73%
Foxx	B+	82%
Jones	C	58%
Kissell	D	21%
McHenry	B+	84%
McIntyre	C–	43%
Miller	F	8%
Myrick	B	78%
Price	F	12%
Shuler	D	28%
Watt	F	11%
State Average		**46%**

NORTH DAKOTA
| Berg | B– | 71% |

OHIO
Austria	B–	73%
Boehner	N.A.	N.A.**
Chabot	A	85%
Fudge	F	15%
Gibbs	B–	71%
Johnson	B	77%
Jordan	A	87%
Kaptur	F	10%
Kucinich	F	20%
LaTourette	C	61%
Latta	B	77%
Renacci	B–	74%
Ryan	F	18%
Schmidt	B	77%
Stivers	C+	69%
Sutton	F	12%
Tiberi	B–	71%
Turner	B–	70%
State Average		**57%**

OKLAHOMA
Boren	C	56%
Cole	C+	69%
Lankford	B	79%
Lucas	C+	66%
Sullivan	B	77%
State Average		**69%**

OREGON
Blumenauer	F	20%
DeFazio	D	30%
Schrader	D	30%
Walden	B–	70%
Wu	F	19%*
State Average		**35%**

PENNSYLVANIA
Altmire	D	35%
Barletta	C+	65%
Brady	F	10%
Critz	D	22%
Dent	C+	68%
Doyle	F	16%
Fattah	F	13%

	GRADE	SCORE
Fitzpatrick	C+	67%
Gerlach	C+	66%
Holden	D	32%
Kelly	C+	69%
Marino	B–	71%
Meehan	C+	65%
Murphy	B–	73%
Pitts	B	78%
Platts	C+	67%
Schwartz	F	12%
Shuster	C+	68%
Thompson	C+	68%
State Average		**51%**

RHODE ISLAND
Cicilline	F	14%
Langevin	F	9%
State Average		**11%**

SOUTH CAROLINA
Clyburn	F	12%
Duncan	A	88%
Gowdy	A	87%
Mulvaney	A	90%
Scott	B+	84%
Wilson	B+	83%
State Average		**74%**

SOUTH DAKOTA
| Noem | B– | 70% |

TENNESSEE
Black	B	75%
Blackburn	B+	81%
Cohen	F	17%
Cooper	D	34%
DesJarlais	B–	74%
Duncan	A	93%
Fincher	B–	74%
Fleischmann	B	78%
Roe	B	75%
State Average		**67%**

TEXAS
Barton	B+	81%
Brady	B+	83%
Burgess	B+	84%
Canseco	B–	74%
Carter	B–	72%
Conaway	B	78%
Cuellar	D	33%
Culberson	B	78%
Doggett	F	16%
Farenthold	B	75%
Flores	B	78%
Gohmert	B	79%
Gonzalez	F	14%
Granger	B–	71%
Green	F	12%
Green	D	24%
Hall	B	77%
Hensarling	B+	84%
Hinojosa	F	17%
Jackson-Lee	F	12%
Johnson	F	14%
Johnson	B	79%
Marchant	B+	82%
McCaul	B	75%
Neugebauer	B+	83%
Olson	B–	71%
Paul	A	92%*
Poe	A	85%
Reyes	F	16%
Sessions	B+	81%

	GRADE	SCORE
Smith	B–	71%
Thornberry	B	77%
State Average		**62%**

UTAH
Bishop	B+	80%
Chaffetz	A	90%
Matheson	C–	47%
State Average		**72%**

VERMONT
| Welch | F | 18% |

VIRGINIA
Cantor	B–	73%
Connolly	F	13%
Forbes	B–	72%
Goodlatte	A	85%
Griffith	B+	82%
Hurt	B+	82%
Moran	F	14%
Rigell	B	76%
Scott	F	11%
Wittman	B–	71%
Wolf	C	64%
State Average		**59%**

WASHINGTON
Dicks	F	10%
Hastings	C+	68%
Herrera Beutler	B–	74%
Inslee	D	21%
Larsen	F	14%
McDermott	F	15%
McMorris Rodgers	B–	72%
Reichert	C	61%
Smith	F	14%
State Average		**39%**

WEST VIRGINIA
Capito	C+	66%
McKinley	C	64%
Rahall	D	26%
State Average		**52%**

WISCONSIN
Baldwin	F	17%
Duffy	B	77%
Kind	D	27%
Moore	F	12%
Petri	B+	83%
Ribble	A	85%
Ryan	B	76%
Sensenbrenner	A	86%
State Average		**58%**

WYOMING
| Lummis | A | 85% |

HOUSE KEY

SCORE	GRADE	COMMENTS
85% or more	A	**Taxpayers' Friend**
80%-84%	B+	
75%-79%	B	**Good**
70%-74%	B–	
65%-69%	C+	
50%-64%	C	**Satisfactory**
40%-49%	C–	
21%-39%	D	**Poor**
20% or less	F	**Big Spender**

*Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total of votes cast.

** Voted on 50% or less of weighted total of votes cast; score and grade not issued.

† Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see back page for methodology.

Every year National Taxpayers Union (NTU) rates U.S. Representatives and Senators on their actual votes — every vote that significantly affects taxes, spending, debt, and regulatory burdens on consumers and taxpayers. Unlike most organizations that publish ratings, we refuse to play the "rating game" of focusing on only a handful of Congressional votes on selected issues. The NTU voting study is the fairest and most accurate guide available on Congressional fiscal policies. It is a completely unbiased accounting of votes.

NTU assigned weights to the votes, reflecting the importance of each vote's effect.*

NTU has no partisan ax to grind. All Members of Congress are treated the same regardless of political affiliation. Our only constituency is the overburdened American taxpayer. Grades are given impartially, based on the Taxpayer Score.

TAXPAYER SCORE

The Taxpayer Score measures the strength of support for reducing spending and regulation and opposing higher taxes. In general, a higher score is better because it means a Member of Congress voted to lessen or limit the burden on taxpayers. The Taxpayer Score can range between 0 and 100. We do not expect anyone to score a 100, nor has any legislator ever achieved a 100 in the multi-year history of the comprehensive NTU scoring system. A high score does not mean that the Member of Congress was opposed to all spending or all programs. High-scoring Members have indicated that they would vote for many programs if the amount of spending were lower. A Member who wants to increase spending on some programs can achieve a high score if he or she votes for offsetting cuts in other programs. A zero score would indicate that the Member of Congress approved every spending proposal and opposed every pro-taxpayer reform.

NTU believes a score qualifying for a grade of "A" indicates the Member is one of the strongest supporters of responsible tax and spending policies. We are pleased to give these Members of Congress our "Taxpayers' Friend Award" (subject to minimum attendance criteria).

A score qualifying for a grade of "B" represents a "good" voting record on controlling spending and taxes. A "B" grade indicates that the Member voted for taxpayers most of the time, but slightly less than those who attained the grade of "A."

A score qualifying for a grade of "C" represents a minimally acceptable voting record on controlling taxes and spending. To qualify for a grade of "C" a Member must have a Taxpayer Score of at least 50 percent. While such a score may be "satisfactory," there is clearly room for improvement.

We are also issuing pluses and minuses for the grades of "B" and "C" in order to better recognize the differences in the voting records of Members with these grades.

A score qualifying for a grade of "D" indicates the Member has a "poor" voting record on controlling taxes and spending.

A score significantly below average qualifies for a grade of "F." This failing grade places the Member into the "Big Spender" category.

VOTE SELECTION

We analyzed every roll call vote taken in 2011 (First Session of the 112th Congress) and selected all votes that could significantly affect the amounts of federal taxes, spending, debt, or regulatory impact. A total of 337 House and 234 Senate roll call votes were selected. We included votes cast on appropriations bills, authorization bills, budget target resolutions, tax bills, amendments, and certain procedural votes that could affect the burden on taxpayers. Votes that simply shifted equal amounts of spending from one area to another were excluded. Also excluded were votes where there was a significant difference of opinion on how to vote to reduce or control government and unanimous votes.

We believe the number of votes used in the analysis, the objective and nonpartisan weighting of the votes, computerized calculations, and many error checks all combine to ensure the highest possible standards of accuracy.

OTHER FACTORS

Although we believe this voting analysis is the most accurate guide available on Congressional fiscal performance, no study of roll call votes can fully evaluate a Member's overall record. A Member's committee work, leadership, and effectiveness with other Members also affect his or her influence on the amount of federal spending, taxes, debt, and regulatory impact. Because of the complexity of the calculations and the number of votes involved, we do not have space to reprint the votes of each Representative and Senator here. A list of votes used in the study, including the weight assigned to each, is available on our website at www.ntu.org.

* Computation

NTU's federal budget experts assigned a weight to each vote ranging from 0 to 100. A low weight was assigned to votes that had relatively little effect, while a high weight was assigned to votes with the most significant effect on federal spending, taxes, debt, and regulation.

Weights were based solely on the relative effect of each vote on the total amount of federal spending, taxes, debt, or regulatory impact. Consideration was given to the long-term effect of a vote, even though relatively little might be immediately at issue. A vote with average importance should have a weight close to 10.

Scores were computed by dividing the weighted total of votes cast against higher spending, taxes, or regulation or for lower spending, taxes, or regulation, by the weighted total number of fiscal issues on which the Member of Congress voted. Average state scores were also computed, using the weighted total of votes cast by each delegation.

In computing these scores, we included only those votes on which the Member actually voted for or against a bill, resolution, or amendment. Paired votes, announced positions, and absences were excluded. Because some Members were absent frequently (or otherwise failed to vote yes or no), their scores and grades, based on relatively few votes, may not accurately reflect fiscal attitudes. The Members falling into this category are noted.

TAXPAYER SCORES

SENATE		HOUSE
46%	Average	50%
21%	Median	66%
96%	High	93%
6%	Low	6%

PARTY SCORES

12%	Democratic Average	17%
11%	Democratic Median	15%
84%	Republican Average	76%
86%	Republican Median	75%